

# LoopWorks Business Plan

Version 12, May, 2023

(<https://milpitasprt.com/wp-content/uploads/business-plan.pdf>)

## Milpitas Dual-Loop Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) Project



This document is shared under a creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International Public License:



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/legalcode>

# Executive Summary

Loopworks hopes the Milpitas advanced transit project will be a see, touch and ride inspiration to change the way transportation is viewed in cities across the world. It starts with a Mission to "Provide climate-friendly and easy-to-use Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) for movement around Milpitas that bypasses traffic with quick, point-to-point and fare-free rides." Our long-term Vision, however, extends worldwide: *The LoopWorks PRT project inspires rapid adoption of advanced transit that dramatically reduces transportation sector emissions.*

PRT delivers:

- clean, quiet and responsive public transportation
- automated service available 24 hours a day, rain or shine
- personal comfort and safety at stations and in transit
- construction and operating costs lower than comparable transit options



## Project Description

The Metro Area around the Milpitas BART Transit Center is badly congested, densely populated, and rife with barriers that challenge pedestrians and cyclists. A Milpitas PRT System is proposed to mitigate both the congestion and the accessibility issues. Using small electric vehicles on elevated guideways, unobstructed by ground-level conditions, residents from 7 separate housing areas could easily access the BART station, the new elementary school, the Great Mall shopping center, Trader Joe's and three city parks.

PRT has been technically and financially viable for the past 40 years, but institutional inertia and fear of political consequences has impeded progress. To reduce fear and avoid institutional resistance, LoopWorks will use 3 innovative strategies:

- 1) Use a vehicle design that minimizes guideway size and markedly reduces costs.
- 2) Adopt a taxable non-profit mutual benefit corporation legal structure to provide flexibility and transparency unavailable through governmental, for-profit, and non-profit corporate structures.
- 3) Seek gratis/free funding from foundations, investment groups, and government agencies (federal/state) for designing and building the system in order to minimize delay and ensure financial viability.

## Business Model

LoopWorks will secure grant funding for engineering and construction from foundations with goals of reduced greenhouse gas emissions, increased transit ridership, or social equity. To provide free rides to users, alternative revenue streams will be secured to fund the low cost of operations and maintenance. All revenue can be applied to running the system and serving people because grant money eliminates the need to pay for both capital costs and interest on debt.

The LoopWorks PRT system will achieve two major goals: 1) provide extraordinary public transportation service in the Milpitas Metro Area, and 2) provide a new model for future transportation projects. The data and the knowledge gained from building this first PRT system will be useful in sparking, refining and strengthening future projects.

## Table of Contents

|                                                 |           |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Executive Summary.....</b>                   | <b>2</b>  |
| Project Description.....                        | 2         |
| Business Model.....                             | 2         |
| <b>Company Description.....</b>                 | <b>5</b>  |
| Stakeholders.....                               | 6         |
| Key partnerships.....                           | 8         |
| System Design - ITNS.....                       | 8         |
| Construction Company.....                       | 10        |
| City of Milpitas.....                           | 11        |
| Key Innovations.....                            | 11        |
| Key resources.....                              | 12        |
| PRT Industry Research.....                      | 12        |
| Advanced Transit Association (ATRA).....        | 13        |
| ITNS Design Work.....                           | 14        |
| Patents.....                                    | 14        |
| <b>Market Analysis.....</b>                     | <b>16</b> |
| Traditional Transit Options.....                | 16        |
| Non-Linear Demand.....                          | 17        |
| Capital Costs to Build Transit.....             | 18        |
| Throughput Capacity.....                        | 19        |
| Corridor vs. Grid.....                          | 20        |
| What We Know About Transportation Systems.....  | 21        |
| Driverless Vehicles.....                        | 22        |
| Hyperloop (The Boring Company).....             | 23        |
| Competitive Advantage.....                      | 23        |
| CO <sub>2</sub> Reductions.....                 | 26        |
| Timing.....                                     | 27        |
| Ridership.....                                  | 28        |
| Energy Consumption.....                         | 29        |
| Level of Service.....                           | 30        |
| Competing PRT Technologies.....                 | 32        |
| Risks.....                                      | 35        |
| Value proposition.....                          | 38        |
| Land Savings.....                               | 39        |
| Unique Value.....                               | 40        |
| PRT Synergy.....                                | 41        |
| Safety and Security.....                        | 43        |
| Benefits for Milpitas.....                      | 44        |
| Leveraged Benefits.....                         | 45        |
| Pedestrian Over-Crossings (POCs) Obsolete?..... | 48        |
| Commuter Rail Obsolete?.....                    | 48        |
| <b>Project Description.....</b>                 | <b>50</b> |
| Location, Location, Location.....               | 50        |
| Milpitas PRT System.....                        | 51        |
| Outstanding ROI Potential.....                  | 53        |
| Hardware.....                                   | 54        |
| Major Design Trade-offs.....                    | 55        |
| Hardware Attributes.....                        | 57        |
| Human Interface (Ergonomics).....               | 58        |

|                                                 |            |
|-------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Codes.....                                      | 60         |
| Certification (CPUC).....                       | 61         |
| Investigate Disruptive Technologies.....        | 62         |
| Software.....                                   | 62         |
| Peopleware.....                                 | 63         |
| Policies and Procedures.....                    | 66         |
| Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.....       | 66         |
| Data to Collect and Share.....                  | 67         |
| <b>Organization and Management.....</b>         | <b>71</b>  |
| Open-Source vs. Proprietary.....                | 73         |
| Stakeholder Perspective.....                    | 73         |
| Democratic Governance.....                      | 75         |
| Outline of Incorporation.....                   | 76         |
| Steps Before Incorporation.....                 | 76         |
| Steps After Incorporation.....                  | 77         |
| <b>Marketing and Sales.....</b>                 | <b>78</b>  |
| For-Profit Opportunities.....                   | 79         |
| LoopWorks Project.....                          | 80         |
| Franchise Agreements.....                       | 81         |
| Customer relationships.....                     | 82         |
| Customer segments.....                          | 82         |
| Customer Communication Channels.....            | 83         |
| Alternative Revenue Streams to Pay for O&M..... | 84         |
| <b>Funding Request.....</b>                     | <b>89</b>  |
| Foundation Grant Money.....                     | 90         |
| "Expensive" Money.....                          | 96         |
| <b>Financial Projections.....</b>               | <b>97</b>  |
| Start-up Costs and Activities.....              | 98         |
| Design and Management Costs.....                | 102        |
| Insurance.....                                  | 105        |
| Construction Costs.....                         | 107        |
| Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs.....       | 109        |
| Electricity (Fuel) Usage.....                   | 109        |
| Financing O&M.....                              | 110        |
| Farebox Recovery – Not!.....                    | 111        |
| Alternative Revenue Streams.....                | 111        |
| <b>Appendix.....</b>                            | <b>113</b> |
| Bibliography.....                               | 113        |
| Resumes.....                                    | 115        |
| Timeline.....                                   | 117        |
| Publicity Channels.....                         | 118        |
| Bosch Captive Columns.....                      | 118        |

# Company Description

Our Climate Crisis demands bold, out-of-the-box solutions, especially in the transportation sector. LoopWorks is committed to one such solution – Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) - that represents a paradigm shift in public transit, and provides climate-smart opportunities to improve the lives of people.

LoopWorks will build and operate a PRT system in Milpitas comprised of two loops. The first loop of the dual-loop system will be the Mini-Loop, which will be followed by the BART Circulator as shown here. Unlike traditional public transit, PRT offers electric-powered, quiet, responsive transit with automated non-stop service available 24 hours a day. In addition to these service benefits, PRT costs far less to build and operate than other transit options – and is safer than walking and cycling on nearby busy streets.



The technological foundation for the system will be the hardware designs, software specifications, and engineering data from Intelligent Transportation Network System (ITNS). Also, much of the material in this business plan is drawn from the [ITNS Business Plan](#).

The ITNS plan uses a traditional economic model focused on attracting for-profit investments from outside of a community. The unfortunate result of such a model is that wealth leaves the community, rather than remaining available for the community's benefit. LoopWorks chooses another path in which the owner-operator is of, by, and for the community.

LoopWorks will be legally structured as a taxable non-profit corporation under California Nonprofit Mutual Benefit Corporation Law ([Corporations Code 7110](#) et seq.). The specific purpose of the corporation is to provide to its members and the public a climate-friendly and easy-to-use Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) system for movement around Milpitas. As Directors of a mutual benefit corporation, the LoopWorks Board will pursue the triple bottom line of profit, people and planet when making business decisions. In exchange for this flexibility, the State requires the corporation to 1) pursue a general public benefit, and 2) create built-in mechanisms to enforce transparency and accountability.

As a nonprofit mutual benefit corporation, LoopWorks enjoys these benefits:

- improved prospects for securing grants from foundations interested in building community more than profiting companies;
- community wealth created by the project benefits more people because it remains in the local economy;
- legal flexibility to quickly adjust to economic and other changes in its environment.

LoopWorks will lead the effort to build a Mini-Loop to serve the Milpitas BART/VTA Transit Center, Great Mall, Trader Joe's grocery store, park/school, and 2 housing areas. Success there will be followed by a second loop - the BART Circulator - that includes 7 more stations serving 3 additional housing areas, another park, and the City's industrial core.

Until the system goes operational, the Board of Directors will likely contract work out as much as possible to businesses located within Santa Clara County and paying prevailing wages.

The LoopWorks Board of Directors will be annually elected by verified members. This will ensure that the Board considers the impact of its decisions on people employed to maintain the system along with customers, suppliers, community, and the environment.

## **Stakeholders**

LoopWorks recognizes that this project will affect many people and businesses in Milpitas. Stakeholders to consider while moving forward include:

- employees of LoopWorks
- nearby residents who could use the PRT system
- nearby businesses whose customers and employees could use the PRT system
- all Milpitas residents and businesses who could benefit from a PRT "tourist attraction"
- various right-of-way (r-o-w) property owners

- City of Milpitas gains prestige and economic development opportunities
- other cities and agencies with an interest in PRT development (Mt. View, Sunnyvale, San José)
- foundations interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use and/or social equity
- government agencies interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use
- Metro Area developers that want to reduce parking ratio requirements
- other developers with an interest in PRT service such as the proposed [mega-project](#) just outside Milpitas (north of Montague along Coyote Creek).

High levels of transparency are essential to the accountability and functioning of organizations with highly participatory decision-making processes like LoopWorks. Thus, financial, strategic and operational information will be readily available to stakeholders.



## **Key partnerships**

Our strategic partners will include Intelligent Transportation Network System (ITNS - the system designer), the City of Milpitas and a major construction company. Additionally, long-time Milpitas resident Rob Means has been promoting efficient clean-energy transportation for 24 years. After a career in computer communications helping lay the foundation for the Internet, Mr. Means began promoting electric scooters and bikes long before they were popular. As that market matured, Mr. Means has turned his full focus to champion a PRT system for the Metro Area of Milpitas. His vision for systems that reduce energy needs, enhance life, and help society has drawn him to PRT technology and this Milpitas PRT System.

## **System Design - ITNS**

LoopWorks Advisory Board member [J. Edward Anderson PhD. P.E.](#) is arguably the most knowledgeable and renown PRT expert in the world. Both his long career and impressive accomplishments speak to his integrity and that of his work results. (Find both his resumé and values in the [ITNS Business Plan](#), pages 2 and 92-94.) The difference between Dr. Anderson's approach to PRT and the work of other PRT investigators is the rigorous process of SYSTEMS ENGINEERING he has applied - and that was possible because of the many disciplinary engineering skills he acquired over the years.

In his most publicly acclaimed work, Dr. Anderson designed and supervised the construction of the fully automatic vehicle for a budget of only \$600,000 and 6 months from the initial order-to-proceed until operation. The vehicle operated on a 60-ft section of covered-steel-truss guideway at the 2003 Minnesota State Fair 12 hours per day for 12 days with no failures. The system (shown below) operated exactly as designed.



In 1992, Dr. Anderson's PRT system was selected unanimously by a 17-person committee over various bus and rail systems proposed for deployment at the Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. In 1996, he chaired an international conference on PRT and related technologies in Minneapolis. In 1998, his work led to acceptance of his PRT system out of over 60 elevated systems as the preferred technology promoted for the Greater Cincinnati Area by a committee of Forward Quest, a Northern Kentucky business organization.

Dr. Anderson is a principal in Intelligent Transportation Network System (ITNS), which owns the 1500-page, 3-volume product of his decades of experience designing PRT systems. Entitled [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit](#) that multi-volume work provides the details underlying the broad concepts offered in this business plan. [Volume 1 is on-line](#). Volumes 2 & 3 will be provided to LoopWorks when 2<sup>nd</sup> stage funding is secured for Design and Management Costs for which Dr. Anderson will be compensated with approximately \$300,000 (\$200,000 after taxes). Additionally, Dr. Anderson has

offered to advise the team of designers and engineers that will plan, supervise and execute the construction of this project.

The intellectual knowledge and expertise presented in the 1500 pages of detailed plans and specifications of ITNS are both remarkable and unique. Thus, a financial evaluation of it is not possible. Its value results not only from the direct activity that has gone into it, but in the associated work by many companies and governments without which ITNS could never have been developed. Building upon that intellectual foundation, LoopWorks will implement the physical reality of their PRT vision.

## **Construction Company**

The LoopWorks PRT system has two distinct phases: design/construction and operation/maintenance (O&M). LoopWorks will contract out the design/construction work and then become the company that performs on-going O&M. At this point in time, LoopWorks is considering Devcon Construction as the primary contractor for both design work and construction. (Devcon Construction, 690 Gibraltar Drive, Milpitas, CA 95035, 408-942-8200, <http://devcon-const.com/> )

In their website's [Who we are](#) section, they declare:

An innovative and creative product of its Silicon Valley roots, Devcon Construction, Inc., a veteran-owned company, matches its general contracting and design/build experience and capabilities to meet the needs of each unique project. Ranked as the largest general contractor in Silicon Valley for the majority of the past two decades, Devcon maintains a significant regional presence with offices throughout the West, handling both large and small projects for a wide range of owners, developers and end users.

Their [Culture](#) statement emphasizes partnering with customers to "do large, challenging, interesting projects". Their values and abilities appear well-aligned with the objectives of LoopWorks and this PRT project. Another aspect of the company that makes them ideal for this project is their proximity. Devcon's headquarters are located within the Milpitas Metro Area that will be served by this project. In fact, one of the 12 PRT stations currently planned is across the street from Devcon Headquarters at the (South) intersection of Gibraltar Dr. and Milpitas Blvd.

If early meetings with Devcon surface any serious issues, we can also utilize the engineering and construction management services of Rudolph and Sletten. With over five decades of building experience, Rudolph and Sletten has literally built the foundation of California's industries – including Monterey Bay Aquarium and Stanford's Keck Science Building. They have 6 regional offices in California, and

proclaim: *Let's Build technically challenging projects, with uncompromising quality, faster with innovation.* (Rudolph and Sletten , 2 Circle Star Way 4th Floor, San Carlos, CA 94070 <https://www.rsconstruction.com/> )

Whichever construction company is chosen, they will be asked to investigate 2 potential opportunities, Bosch Captive Columns and [Prescient Co Inc.](#) which claims its “digital design-build system is revolutionizing the building environment and increasing ROI across the entire development, construction and operating value chain.”

## City of Milpitas

The City of Milpitas is a key stakeholder and will benefit substantially from the LoopWorks PRT project in these ways:

- The City and its residents will enjoy the prestige of hosting the 1<sup>st</sup> true PRT system built in the USA.
- Numerous economic development opportunities open up when more transit infrastructure is introduced to the community including better marketability of area businesses and properties.
- A significant increase in property tax revenues is forecast from properties in and around the Metro Area.
- Reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by PRT users who would otherwise drive will help the City meet challenging carbon-reduction targets required by State law.

Loopworks believes these excellent advantages, and more, will be a great incentive for City leaders and residents to support the PRT project.

## Key Innovations

Intelligent Transportation Network System (ITNS) represents a long overdue *paradigm shift* in providing public transit. The many inventors and engineers who have worked to introduce this new and markedly superior form of public transportation have encountered fierce opposition from established institutions. LoopWorks may confront similar resistance. Financing and engineering a PRT system are relatively easy compared with overcoming institutional inertia. If successful, this may be LoopWorks’ primary contribution to advancing the PRT industry.



PRT has been technically and financially viable for the past 40 years, but institutional inertia and fear of political consequences has impeded progress. Even

when municipalities such as Palo Alto and Milpitas are offered no-cost, no-risk PRT systems, they decline. To reduce fear and avoid institutional resistance, LoopWorks will use 3 innovative strategies:

- Utilize a captured-bogie PRT design - rather than a car-like design - to substantially reduce costs.
- Adopt a taxable, non-profit mutual benefit corporation legal structure rather than for-profit, tax-exempt non-profit or governmental corporation to provide more flexibility than the other three types with fewer restrictions.
- Seek foundation funding rather than government or investor money to design and build the system to minimize special-interest influences and ensure financial viability.



As public awareness grows of the severity and urgency of our Climate Crisis, and traffic congestion continues to encroach upon discretionary time, public pressure will compel institutions to adapt. As that evolution in both awareness and adaptation proceeds, introducing a new paradigm will become easier.

## Key resources

### PRT Industry Research

Many decades of research has gone into PRT development. Here are prominent examples.

**Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI)** – Founded in 1991, the Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI), an organized research and training unit in partnership with the [Lucas College and Graduate School of Business](#) at [San José State University](#) (SJSU). Their mission is to increase mobility for all by improving the safety, efficiency, accessibility, and convenience of our nation's transportation system. Through research, education, workforce development, and technology transfer, MTI helps create a connected world. MTI has been working on PRT issues for nearly a decade. The Institute's 2014 peer-reviewed report supports PRT as a viable and desirable transit solution: [AUTOMATED TRANSIT NETWORKS \(ATN\): A REVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE INDUSTRY AND PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE](#). Here is the Abstract:

This study explains ATN technology, setting it in the larger context of Automated Guideway Transit (AGT); looks at the current status of ATN suppliers, the status of the ATN industry, and the prospects of a U.S.-based ATN industry; summarizes and organizes proceedings from the seven Podcar City conferences that have been held since 2006; documents the U.S./Sweden Memorandum of Cooperation on Sustainable Transport;

discusses how ATN could expand the coverage of existing transit systems; explains the opportunities and challenges in planning and funding ATN systems and approaches for procuring ATN systems; and concludes with a summary of the existing challenges and opportunities for ATN technology. The study is intended to be an informative tool for planners, urban designers, and those involved in public policy, especially for urban transit, to provide a reference for history and background on ATN, and to use for policy development and research.

**California Public Utilities Commission** - The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) ensures that all rail transit system extensions and new construction projects undergo a safety certification review and approval. CPUC's long experience with amusement park rides provides them with the expertise to act as an independent 3rd-party to ensure quality control on the project. After construction, CPUC will provide Certification.

**88 questions about PRT** – All the FAQs that people ask are already answered in Chapter 11 (pages 232 - 257) of [\*Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I\*](#). These will be useful as supporting material when marketing PRT to funders, property owners, and other stakeholders.

**On-Line PRT Resources** - While few PRT systems have been implemented, research and development has been extensive. The most [comprehensive web site](#) devoted to Innovative Transportation Technologies is managed by Emeritus University of Washington Regional Planning Professor Jerry Schneider. Also, there is a growing number of other web pages devoted to new transit technology, the most prominent of which are supported by the [Advanced Transit Association](#) and [Get on Board! PRT](#). From these web pages, many web pages devoted to specific technologies and systems can be found.

## **Advanced Transit Association (ATRA)**

The [Advanced Transit Association \(ATRA\)](#), a 501(c)(3) nonprofit formed in 1976, is an international association of professionals and others that promote the consideration of advanced transit options. [ATRA may help consultants undertake large feasibility studies](#) to obtain solid data about 1) the public's propensity to pay for riding a

large PRT deployment, 2) the cost of building, maintaining and operating it, and 3) other direct and indirect costs and benefits.



Many large philanthropies are anxious to fight climate change and willing to fund applied research in order to do so. Most of these philanthropies will not fund

government agencies or for-profit companies. As a 501 (c)(3) nonprofit, ATRA is eligible for this type of funding. LoopWorks anticipates partnering with ATRA to obtain funding and CPUC certification.

## ITNS Design Work

The relationship between LoopWorks and Intelligent Transportation Network System (ITNS) is critical. The ITNS design is the leading embodiment of the paradigm-shifting class of transit systems called Personal Rapid Transit (PRT). Before ITNS hardware was built for exhibition at the 2003 Minnesota State Fair, the basic design won competitions in Chicago, SeaTac, and Cincinnati. Furthermore, a Swedish report concluded that if it were tested full-scale, it would be the preferred PRT system for Swedish cities. The State Fair demonstration showed that when Dr. Anderson supervised the project that implemented his hardware design, it worked exactly as specified. (Dr. Anderson is pictured inside the vehicle.)

The engineering science needed to design PRT systems can be found in the public domain either through books, reports, published papers, expired patents, web pages, and courses given; but this information is scattered, as a result of which many new people entering the field spend unnecessary time reinventing what is already known somewhere. A



[bibliography of such papers](#) is included in Section 25 (starting on page 228) of [\*Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I.\*](#)

## Patents

Dr. Anderson's PRT design obtained the following patents for the University of Minnesota which were licensed exclusively to ITNS (then called Automated Transportation Systems).

| <b>U. S. Patent Number</b> | <b>Title</b>                                     | <b>Issue Date</b> |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 4,522,128                  | Switch Mechanism                                 | June 11, 1985     |
| 4,671,185                  | Switch Mechanism                                 | June 9, 1987      |
| 4,665,829                  | Guideway Construction and Method of Installation | May 19, 1987      |
| 4,665,830                  | Guideway Construction and Method of Installation | May 19, 1987      |
| 4,726,299                  | Method & Apparatus for Controlling a Vehicle     | Feb. 23, 1988     |

These basic patents which were granted to the University of Minnesota on the system invented by Dr. Anderson have expired. ITNS has exhaustively searched for patents upon which this project may infringe and have found only one – U. S. Patent 7,617,977 “Ticketing system for personal rapid transit,” a method that has been common knowledge for decades. This patent can easily be circumvented and the company that owns it is out of business.

Although LoopWorks believes all required basic patents are now available, other patentable ideas will be sought and secured as part of the development process. Doing so will prevent for-profit companies from claiming them, and then controlling or preventing their use in other projects. LoopWorks will own the detailed plans and specifications needed to build the Milpitas PRT System, and will make them easily available on-line to the public as an encouragement to others to build their own systems.

# Market Analysis

In 2019 a press conference was held to promote the [Valley Transportation Authority's \(VTA\) Get On Board campaign](#). To increase awareness of, and support for, public transportation, Matt Mahood, [President & CEO](#) of [The Silicon Valley Organization \(SVO\)](#), joined various elected officials and company executives and noted a few transportation statistics for the Valley:

- Over the past decade, commuter times have increased by 20% which equates to 50 minutes weekly or 43 hours annually extra time spent in traffic.
- In 2017, 6.5% of local employees, about 95,000 people, spent more than three hours commuting to and from work on a daily basis.
- Traffic delays equate to an annual loss of \$2.7 billion in productivity.

What the [press release](#) did not mention was the number of jobs in Silicon Valley rising 30% during the previous decade while housing supply rose only 4%. Unless that jobs/housing imbalance is addressed, or some new transit option becomes available, severe traffic congestion in Milpitas will likely continue to worsen. In fact, the typical American city dweller can only reach 30% of jobs within 90 minutes using public transport.

## Traditional Transit Options

The attractiveness of public transit rises with the frequency of service, personal security, and the destinations that can be quickly accessed. Unfortunately, VTA's service level at best is 20 minutes and typically much more between buses. To reduce that headway to 10 minutes – a frequency at which public transit starts to become attractive – would imply a doubling of buses, staff and operating losses. The cost of doing so is not practical for VTA because only 11% of their 2019 operations was covered by rider fares leaving the remaining 89% subsidized by taxes.



Within VTA's domain, 3 factors undercut demand: personal security, timeliness, and proximity to origins and destinations. Personal security of all riders – and female riders in particular – has become a problem, especially during non-commute times. Public

transportation is safest when it is monitored and has the full support of local


police departments. VTA's difficulties in providing on-time performance stem from fleet reliability, and cause riders to become late or stranded, and thus less likely to choose their service. Furthermore, VTA has been unable to provide bus stops close to enough origins and destinations, further reducing demand.

The transportation industry is ripe for disruption, with rail and road – the domain of public transit – being particularly identified. Continued congestion, widespread Uber/Lyft service, dockless electric scooters and bikes, and driverless cars will continue to increase pressure for innovation.

## Non-Linear Demand

Nerd Alert! In a technical way, the following points out that the transportation mode(s) people use depends upon at least 6 factors. As those factors add up (increasing disutility), people are less likely to use that particular mode. For example, Milpitas residents will generally find the disutility of Caltrain (hourly service on the other side of the Valley) is very high, and therefore unlikely to be used. The high disutility of bus and LRT service in Santa Clara County will continue to produce low ridership. The disutility of PRT is low, so even this small system will attract more ridership than high-disutility buses currently serving the area.

Transit **mode share** depends on the utility of transit trips in comparison with those of alternative modes (car, walk etc.). **Disutility** is defined as a weighted sum of transit trip time components, transfers and the transit fare. Out-of-vehicle time and Driver-access time are typically perceived as twice as onerous as in-vehicle time. Each transfer is penalized by 5 minutes in addition to the time spent in transfer (waiting and walking). Trip disutility  $X = \text{in-vehicle minutes} + (2 * \text{out-of-vehicle minutes}) + (2 * \text{drive-access minutes}) + 5 \text{ minutes for each transfer} + \text{mode-penalty} + (\text{fare} / \text{value-of-time})$ . As shown in this table (from page 4 of [this transit comparison study](#)), as disutility increases, ridership decreases in a non-linear way.



## Capital Costs to Build Transit


[Transportation Options Through a PRT Lens](#) offers an introduction to various transit factors. Page 9 of that document presents the following cost estimates for various transportation technologies. All are compared using a corridor model with travel going both ways (bi-directional). While the specific costs and estimates will vary, each mode generally maintains its cost-position relative to the others.

| Transportation Technology                                        | Bi-Directional Cost Range |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) - when re-purposing existing roadway     | \$4-9M/mile               |
| Freeway/Expressway Lanes - at ground level (no land acquisition) | \$10-20M/mile             |
| Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) - elevated                          | \$20-60M/mile             |
| Automated People Mover (APM) - at ground level                   | \$30-50M/mile             |
| Light Rail Transit (LRT) - at ground level                       | \$60M/mile                |
| Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) - at ground level                  | \$130-230M/mile           |
| Pedestrian Over Crossing (POC) - elevated                        | \$150M/mile               |

PRT costs already compare favorably with APM, LRT and BART. After adding in land-acquisition costs to BRT and Freeway Lanes, PRT will likely be less expensive than those options also. Elevated versions of APM, LRT and BART would cost twice as much as ground-level corridors.

## Throughput Capacity

Throughput capacity of a transit system is how many people can be moved per hour or day. Throughput capacity depends upon the number of people per vehicle and time between vehicles (headway). Capacity of a transportation corridor can be increased with larger vehicles, shorter headways, or both. As you can see in the following diagram, PRT (Personal Rapid Transit), GRT (Group Rapid Transit), Light Rail, and Heavy Rail all travel at roughly the same speed (20 – 40 mph), but potentially transport widely differing numbers ranging from a few thousand to more than 50,000 passengers/hour.



The figure above shows that PRT and GRT systems fill a 'white spot' on a transit planner's map: medium capacity and high average speed (for any origin-destination pair). Characteristics of other systems may differ from those shown in the figure; the intention is to show the relative placement of PRT and GRT systems rather than to show precisely the characteristics of all systems in absolute values.

In Silicon Valley, the capacity of both bus and LRT is substantially underutilized. In the case of LRT, it could be argued that another, lower-capacity technology would have been a better choice.

## Corridor vs. Grid

Fixed rail systems like BART and Caltrain are fundamentally corridor transportation systems that serve a series of stations along the corridor. Old time rail systems that served towns and cities along the line served them well for long-distance travel, as do modern equivalents that serve to transport people between cities.

*Corridor systems with on-line stations have fewer stations spaced farther apart which allows for higher speeds between stations.*

*Network systems like roadways generally run at lower speeds and serve to connect many origins with many destinations in a defined area.* For

example, sidewalks and bike lanes/paths interconnect to create pedestrian and cyclist networks.



Because South Bay cities have been designed around the car and a network of roads, they sprawl rather than concentrate around corridors. Bus networks (as pictured) are composed of many bus routes, each of which is usually a corridor with buses running from one end point to the other, then back again along the same route. Bus routes intersect and allow for transfers from one bus to another. That intersect-and-connection-transfer to another vehicle is also how a riders utilized the various corridor and network systems in their area.

Not only does a grid system provide for more origin and destinations, grids also serve more people by covering more area. For a graphic presentation of area coverage of corridor/linear vs. grid systems, see this [short explanation](#). The benefits of a grid system over a linear one is described in [Transportation Options for Greenville](#) (page 51).

The biggest benefit of PRT systems over corridor systems is the value created as each expands. As corridor systems extend, value increases linearly with each additional station. Under [Metcalf's Law](#), the value of a network rises with the square of the number of connected nodes ( $n^2$ ). For example, the proposed Mini-Loop with 5 stations provides 25 units of value ( $5 \times 5$ ). By adding 7 more stations with the BART Circulator (12 stations total), the system value jumps to 144 units of value ( $12 \times 12$ ). If a Civic Center Loop were added that included 3 more stations (City Hall, Library, Main Street/Midtown), the value would increase from 144 to 225 ( $15 \times 15$ ). That 3-station expansion will generate an 81-unit increase in value ( $225 - 144 = 81$ ) will be greater value than the original 5-station Mini-Loop.

## What We Know About Transportation Systems

By looking at [transportation options in the South Bay](#) through various lenses, we can deduce some general rules to guide our technology choices in the future.



- Rule 1: Designing a system that works well for people goes a long way toward a system that works well to transport stuff.
- Rule 2: Corridor systems with on-line stations have fewer stations that are farther apart to allow for higher speeds.
- Rule 3: Network systems like roadways generally run at lower speeds and serve to connect many origins with many destinations in a defined area.
- Rule 4: Networked systems come in two types, those that require a transfer to another vehicle and those that don't.
- Rule 5: Maximum capacity on a corridor is rarely achieved, and the average loading is less than half of theoretical maximum capacity.
- Rule 6: Adding parallel lines to a low-volume transit network adds capacity that can eventually exceed high-volume corridor capacity.
- Rule 7: Low-capacity transit like PRT can use multiple stations with multiple berths – all operating in parallel – to achieve high surge capacity when needed.
- Rule 8: Nearly all trips are multi-modal, requiring more than one transportation mode.
- Rule 9: Moving between transit modes or vehicles can be quick and easy unless the transfer requires a wait – largely because waiting time seems longer to people than it really is.
- Rule 10: The more physical materials required to build a transportation option, the more it tends to cost.
- Rule 11: A transportation option that costs X dollars at ground level, will cost 2X dollars when elevated, and 3X dollars when under-grounded.
- Rule 12: Capital costs for a specific technology vary widely depending upon constraints and requirements of the place where it will be built.
- Rule 13: Land costs are high in Silicon Valley.
- Rule 14: People are willing to endure a longer commute if they can do something else during the trip.

These rules, when applied to the South Bay Area, lead to these guidelines:

- Prioritize networked systems over corridor systems. Due to the car-facilitated sprawl of the South Bay Area, networked systems work better in our area for most transportation needs, while higher-speed corridor systems serve for longer links.
- Balance capacity to demand. Due to low-density sprawl, higher-capacity transit options are rarely needed.
- More no-wait connections are generally better than fewer connections that require waiting.
- Reducing door-to-door time is more important than increasing the speed of any particular link between those doors.
- When gauging the value of any particular transportation option, consider the value it contributes to the entire network of existing transit systems.

Having reviewed various factors in our transportation analysis, we come to the critical question: What combination of options makes sense for this defined area?

If, over the course of twenty years, untold millions of dollars are spent on a public transit system, and the growth in ridership has not kept pace with the growth of the population base being served, that is a system in decline. Before continuing along the same declining path, transportation agencies and experts owe an honest solution to this equation:

**value** = **f** (performance, price, benefits, impacts) **vs.** alternatives

In plain English, the **value** of a transportation option is a **function** of various factors, and **must be compared** with the alternatives.

## Driverless Vehicles

"We are on the cusp of one of the fastest, deepest, most consequential disruptions of transportation in history. By 2030, ... 95% of U.S. passenger miles traveled will be served by on-demand autonomous electric vehicles owned by fleets, not individuals, in a new business model we call 'transport-as-a-service' (TaaS)." That bold statement comes from [Rethinking Transportation 2020-2030 - Disruption, Implications and Choices](#), a RethinkX Report by James Arbib & Tony Seba (page 6).



The start of this disruption will be the date that driverless vehicles are approved for widespread use on public roads. This start date, of course, depends upon both technological readiness and regulatory approval. Very limited driverless testing has already begun.

Recent studies indicate, however, that driverless vehicles without multiple riders are expected to increase congestion in congested areas (but not in uncongested

areas). Introducing driverless cars will have little or no impact on infrastructure other than potentially reducing parking needs if ridesharing catches on. Find more discussion about this in [\*Transportation Options for Greenville\*](#) (pages 54 – 65).

Failure to relieve congestion means that driverless vehicles and their passengers will still get stuck in traffic as they do today. In fact, congestion may worsen if workers who currently avoid the rush hour opt to join it because, as driverless passengers, they can now use commute time to read emails, relax or entertain themselves while in traffic. Joining them will be the latent demand for rides from the 1 in 3 people who cannot drive (too young, old, poor, handicapped, etc.).

For the small percentage of non-ambulatory riders that require door-to-door service, driverless vehicles will be a service worth a premium fare. Most riders, including mobility scooter and wheelchair users, will appreciate the lower fares and quicker, more reliable service of a non-stop PRT system with stations a close walk from both origin and destination.

While the technology merits support for various reasons, driverless cars in congested areas may be most useful by supporting PRT with rides to and from PRT stations – just as PRT will support the transportation options at the Milpitas Transit Center by bringing people to and from there.

Finally, needing answers are the old questions of politics: Who wins? Who loses? Who decides? Who pays? Those answers will impact the value proposition of driverless vehicles – whether they roll on public roads or on elevated guideways.

## **Hyperloop (The Boring Company)**

In June of 2021, The Boring Company started service in Las Vegas. The \$48.7 million project enables people to visit one of three stations and hitch a ride in a Tesla Model 3 or Model X to travel around the 1.5 mile loop that connects the Las Vegas Convention Center campus. Based on testing that the company completed in May, the Loop can transport more than 4,400 people hourly at a speed of about 35 miles per hour, figures comparable to PRT's at a price that is roughly double that of ITNS PRT.

## **Competitive Advantage**

PRT systems can reduce dependence on oil, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and reduce congestion. These three benefits are the primary societal advantages over most public transit. Additionally, other advantages that benefit people and their community include:

- **Increased Energy Efficiency:** Light-weight vehicles traveling non-stop use about 90% less energy than cars.
- **Green Careers:** While construction jobs to build PRT are temporary, careers in PRT O&M are long lasting.
- **Low Construction and Operating Costs:** In addition to using smaller-scale technology, stations can be sized to demand, thus further decreasing capital costs to build a PRT system. Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs are far lower which makes it easier to provide high-level service.
- **Economic Benefits:** Fewer transportation dollars leave the community, and more property tax dollars flow to public agencies. A pleasant ride is provided for commuting employees, thus permitting them to arrive at work rested and relaxed. A PRT system also opens future possibilities for moving freight, recyclable materials, and garbage.
- **Better Service:** PRT offers safe, quiet, responsive public transit with automated non-stop service available 24 hours a day. Because every trip bypasses intermediate stations, stations can be spaced closer together without slowing the average speed, thus providing both increased access to the community and competitive trip times. Seniors and other non-drivers will have much needed mobility and independence. All users will enjoy easier access to stores, clinics, offices, schools and recreational opportunities.
- **Reduced Congestion:** PRT's attractiveness to many auto users will help reduce congestion while also boosting the effectiveness of alternative modes of transportation.
- **Reduced Pollution:** Because PRT can use any type of electrified renewable energy it will reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, all other carbon-fuel pollutants, and most noise common to cars and other forms of transit.
- **Land Savings:** Land required for PRT is about 0.02% vs. 30-70% for the auto system, and less than 10% of total right of way needed for surface-level rail. This minimal need for land enables PRT to reduce congestion where no space exists for traditional alternatives. Furthermore, more livable high-density communities become possible.
- **Increased Safety and Security:** PRT safety has been demonstrated at [Morgantown University](#) where over 200 million injury-free passenger miles shows it is [50X safer than cars](#). Security is enhanced by spreading the service among many lines and stations, thus eliminating high-value targets for terrorists.
- **Time Savings:** Riders won't usually spend time waiting for a vehicle and won't be stopping frequently along the way, so they will arrive sooner at their destinations.



- **Network Value:** PRT systems can easily expand, and the value of a network rises with the square of the number of stations in the system ( $n^2$ ); see [Metcalf's Law](#).
- **Synergy with Other Transit:** Combined with other existing public transit modes, PRT Synergy will dramatically increase transit ridership while reducing transit subsidies.

While many PRT designs provide the above benefits, the ITNS-designed PRT technology chosen by LoopWorks achieves a higher level of value by meeting specific design goals:

- Operating costs low enough to be recovered from fares and/or other revenue streams.
- Highly efficient operation using network topology and renewable energy.
- Time competitive with urban auto trips within the service area.
- Low use of construction and fabrication materials.
- Low air and noise pollution.
- Visually acceptable.
- Adequate capacity.
- Low energy use.
- Minimal land use.
- Safe.
- Secure.
- Reliable.
- Comfortable.
- Attractive for riders.
- Available 24 hours a day.
- Expandable without limit in an ever-widening network.
- Low-value target for terrorist attacks.
- Compliant with Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).
- Operational in all kinds of weather, except for extremely high winds.



The ITNS-designed PRT technology chosen by LoopWorks achieves the above goals, and thereby provides the riding public with these benefits:

- The system is easy for everyone to use. No driver's license needed.
- Vehicles wait for people, rather than people waiting for vehicles.
- Less than a minute wait during rush periods, and no waiting during off-peak times.
- There are no time-consuming vehicle-to-vehicle transfers within the system.
- Vehicles are heated, ventilated, and air conditioned.
- The system is available at any hour on any day.
- User trips are short, predictable, and nonstop.



- Everyone gets a seat; no standing.
- Travel is cost competitive.
- There is no crowding.
- The chance of injury is extremely remote.
- Personal security is high.
- The ride is comfortable, private and quiet.
- There is space for luggage, a wheelchair, a baby carriage, or a bicycle.
- Riders can make phone calls, text, read, relax, meditate, etc.

In short, by delivering automated non-stop service 24 hours a day, PRT can provide a level of service far superior to conventional public transit options. PRT may be the simplest and least expensive means to add capacity in congested environments, and the most acceptable to communities.

Furthermore, compared on a per-passenger-mile basis with the average surface-level urban rail transit system, PRT costs far less for construction, operation and energy use. Construction costs, for example, are expected to be one-tenth the cost of typical transit for which Federal regulations allow expenditures of roughly \$30,000 per daily rider. As shown in *Figure 4. Capital Cost per Daily Ride* of the [ITNS Business Plan](#) (page 23), PRT costs less than \$3,000 per daily rider.

## CO<sub>2</sub> Reductions

The California Air Resources Board (CARB) has struggled to control carbon emissions from cars and the rest of the transportation sector, the source of about 40% of all the carbon produced in California (and reportedly 59% in Milpitas). Emphasizing the point, a recent audit report says "The state will fall short of meeting the 2030 goal unless emissions reductions occur at a faster pace."

Although greenhouse gases from other sources have declined, tailpipe emissions have actually risen since 2013. This increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions happens at a time when real-world climate changes are happening much faster than scientists have predicted. While electric cars are making inroads (especially with Gov. Newsom's executive order requiring new cars to be zero-emission by 2035), carbon-fueled vehicles will still dominate the transportation sector for the next decade or more if existing trends continue.

As a new paradigm for transportation (rather than a variation like driverless-vehicles), PRT could cause a major disruption in an industry that is ripe for it. In the words of Alain Kornhauser, Director of Princeton University's Transportation Program: "Even with enormous subsidy, Transit, especially bus transit, is hardly ever the 'mode of choice' for anyone because its level-of-service is fundamentally poor. It serves relatively few locations, loosely connected by a route which delivers service only at infrequent fixed times. Essentially no other consumer

commodity today operates with so little regard to its customer's real-time needs and desires.”

Trends in public perceptions of our Climate Crisis, the need to tax carbon fuels, heavily-subsidized transit agency service as a poor ROI all build stress for disruption.

While each PRT ride that would have been done by car reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the real leverage in emissions comes from the dramatic increase in transit generally which, in our area (thanks to SVCE), often generates no carbon emissions. As noted below in PRT Synergy, use of public transit increases dramatically when PRT is added to the mix of transportation options.

PRT is the perfect transportation tool to begin to reduce road vehicle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions rapidly. The beauty of a Personal Rapid Transit system is the low cost, can be built above ground using minimal real estate, can cover any number of miles and can be built to be expanded over a few years. All of this while reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions; one car trip off the road for every PRT ride.

By combining electric-powered PRT, LRT and BART with electrified buses, it is likely people living or working in PRT-served areas will be more attracted to low-cost pay-as-you-go transit service than struggle with traffic, parking and hazards of the road. The added demand for transit will lead to more frequent service which, in turn, will attract more riders – further reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This virtuous cycle of attracting riders will accelerate as a moderate number of electric cars for hire provide service between home, office or grocery store and the local PRT stations.


Embedded energy is the amount of energy required to make something. By sharing vehicles, public transit is able to reduce the amount of embedded energy per capita. Individually-owned electric cars cannot provide the savings available to shared vehicles. PRT vehicles are both shared and contain about half the embedded energy of electric cars due to their light weight and simplicity.

## Timing

In the 7-minute TED video entitled “[The single biggest reason why start-ups succeed](#)”, Bill Gross says that timing is the biggest factor. If true, LoopWorks and the Milpitas PRT project may be perfectly timed.

Clearly, our Climate Crisis is forcing people and institutions to look for

Top 5 Factors in Success Across More Than 200 Companies



ways to reduce carbon emissions, especially in the transportation sector that is responsible for such a high percentage in cities designed and built around the automobile. With the newly installed Biden administration, something akin to a Green New Deal is expected soon. That opportunity to rebuild our infrastructure combined with the falling use of traditional public transit (buses) will lift options that have been overlooked until now. Lower costs of microprocessors, sensors, and displays combined with automated manufacturing techniques have all made PRT less expensive and easier to implement than 4 decades ago when it was first demonstrated at [Morgantown University](#). Locally, timing is enhanced by the arrival of BART in Milpitas, the Great Mall's cultivation of entertainment options to complement their core retail operations, and the opening of Trader Joe's market in the Milpitas Metro Area.

## Ridership

LoopWorks intends to offer free transit service which will increase equity and, more importantly, increase ridership – as outlined in this article: [Here's What Happens When Public Transit Is Free](#). The traditional mass transit option - buses - capture a modal split in most U. S. cities that hovers around 3% of all trips. Estimated ridership on a PRT system ranges from 25% to greater than 50% of the daily trips, a huge increase! (See page 214 of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I](#)). Even higher ridership potential is shown in the table on page 11 of a [study comparing LRT, GRT and PRT](#).

The potential of PRT to reduce single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) driving is amazing! The abstract from a 188-page peer-reviewed study indicates that the [SOV rate at a high-tech job center in Palo Alto could be cut in half](#).

More specifically, PRT ridership was estimated for an example system comprised of 30 miles of guideway with 60 stations (2 stations/mile) served by 321 vehicles (10/mile). Ridership of the Milpitas PRT system can be estimated using those numbers which are repeated here. (See page 36 of the [ITNS Business Plan](#).)

- Peak Days/year = 340
- People/sq mile = 9,000
- Trips/person/day = 3
- Mode split to ITNS = 20% [a conservative percentage compared to estimates above]
- **PRT Passenger-Trips/Day/sq mile = 5,400** (9000 X 3 X .20)
- Total Passenger Trips/yr/sq mile = 1,836,000 (340 X 5400)
- Peak-hrs/Day = 10
- Passenger-Trips/peak hr/sq mile = 540
- Average Trip Length = 1.60 mile
- Fraction of Vehicles moving while empty = 25%
- **Transit Service Area (within 1/3-mile of a PRT station) = 1.4 sq mile**
- People/occupied vehicle = 1.35
- Vehicle-miles/year = 2,380,000 [(1,836,000 X 1.4 X 1.25) / 1.35]


If PRT passenger-trips/day/square mile holds at 5400 for the Metro Area, then the 1.4 sq. mile served by the **Milpitas PRT System will provide 7560 trips per day**. Validating (or not) this estimate will be the real world numbers reported by LoopWorks via Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.

## Energy Consumption

PRT uses less than one-third the energy per passenger mile of automobiles and public transit. This is because:

- PRT vehicles are light-weight and roll on smooth surfaces; moving them is easy.
- PRT travel is relatively slow; little energy is expended overcoming wind resistance.
- PRT vehicles stop only once; little energy is expended decelerating and accelerating.
- PRT systems have no schedule that requires continually moving empty vehicles.

Comparison of gallons of carbon-based fuel per passenger-mile



Computing and comparing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions numbers can be tricky.\* Regardless, the 3 types of PRT shown in the accompanying chart (Ultra, 2getthere, Vectus) clearly use far less energy per passenger mile than other transportation modes. PRT systems also have fewer emissions because they use electricity to power the

system – electricity that may come from clean, renewable sources such as solar or wind.

\* For example, nationwide bus occupancy averages only 9, which is only 0.18 (18%) for a 50-passenger bus. An occupancy rate of 0.20 (20%) for private vehicles (assuming 5 seats available) is achieved with just the driver.

## **Level of Service**

Various factors contribute to the service level of any particular transportation option. (See Non-Linear Demand.) [PRT Consulting](#) compared urban transportation solutions that are currently in use or under consideration including personal rapid transit (PRT), bus rapid transit (BRT), light rail transit (LRT), and other options. Find the results in the 10-page article [SOME 21ST CENTURY TRANSPORTATION SOLUTIONS: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS](#) by Peter J. Muller, P.E., President, Advanced Transit Association. On page 10, the Summary of Results shows that PRT outscores the other 5 options by 50% or more.

Likewise, the comparison chart below from [PRT Consulting](#) provides an overview and comparison of 4 transportation options. This chart [and others](#) show that PRT consistently and clearly offers significant service advantages over traditional transportation options.

|  <b>Level of Service Comparison</b> |         |     |     |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|
|                                                                                                                      | Transit | Car | PRT | GRT |
| System reliability                                                                                                   | ☺       | ☺   | ✓   | ✓   |
| Trip time                                                                                                            | ✗       | ☺   | ☺   | ☺   |
| Cost per passenger                                                                                                   | ☺       | ✗   | ✓   | ✓   |
| Minimal walking                                                                                                      | ✗       | ✓   | ☺   | ☺   |
| On-demand 24/7                                                                                                       | ✗       | ✓   | ✓   | ☺   |
| Transfers                                                                                                            | ✗       | ✓   | ✓   | ✓   |
| Guaranteed seat                                                                                                      | ☺       | ✓   | ✓   | ☺   |
| Handicap provisions                                                                                                  | ☺       | ✗   | ✓   | ✓   |
| Safe and secure                                                                                                      | ✗       | ✗   | ✓   | ✓   |
| Private                                                                                                              | ✗       | ✓   | ✓   | ☺   |
| Non-stop                                                                                                             | ✗       | ✗   | ✓   | ☺   |
| Snow & ice                                                                                                           | ☺       | ✗   | ☺   | ☺   |
| Good ✓    Acceptable ☺    Poor ✗                                                                                     |         |     |     |     |

## Resilience

System reliability is just part of PRT's contribution to community resilience. PRT transit offers redundancy and resilience to a community in these ways:

1. Mobility is electrified and transformed to reduce emissions, reduce congestion and save user time.
2. EV use by low-income customers and those living in multi-unit dwellings becomes easier without concerns about capital costs and charging of privately-owned cars.
3. PRT provides safe and reliable transit that complements and supports other forms of transportation.
4. In addition to transporting people, PRT can move packages, cargo, recyclable materials and garbage.
5. As an elevated system, PRT can continue operating in the event that the flood plain on which it is build actually floods.

6. Conduit space within the guideway structure provides alternative electric and broadband utility paths through the Metro Area of Milpitas.
7. If a community plans to distribute energy-storing batteries in an area, siting them at PRT stations is a possibility.

## Competing PRT Technologies

While few PRT systems have been implemented, research and development has been extensive. The most [comprehensive web site](#) devoted to Innovative Transportation Technologies is managed by Emeritus University of Washington Regional Planning Professor Jerry Schneider. Also, there is a growing number of other web pages devoted to new transit technology, the most prominent of which are supported by the [Advanced Transit Association](#) and [Get on Board! PRT](#). From these web pages, links to additional information to specific systems can be found.

While many have tried to develop and implement a PRT system, few have succeeded due to 1) [Simmelweis reflex](#) and 2) inadequate knowledge. A nineteenth-century Hungarian doctor, [Ignaz Semmelweis](#)

[“...noticed obvious and important empirical truths](#) that should have been investigated by other scientists but were reflexively rejected by these scientists because the suggested explanations were not in line with the conventional thinking of the time. Today this is known as a Semmelweis reflex. Individuals still hang on to old theories in the face of seemingly overwhelming evidence; it happens all the time in science and in life in general. The human tendency to gather and interpret new information in a biased way to confirm preexisting beliefs is called confirmation bias”.

This partly explains why transit agencies have ignored PRT for so long despite the poor results of traditional mass transit systems.

Another factor in failed attempts to field a PRT system is the requirement for adequate knowledge. A successful PRT system requires a thorough understanding of the design goals before detailed design should be initiated. That in-depth understanding includes skilled practice of every relevant engineering science, a strong command of engineering mathematics, and experience in laying out and mapping PRT systems in specific applications. Good systems engineering requires strict objectivity in selecting components which, in turn, depends upon a great deal of research. It is unlikely that any engineering group working in a time frame acceptable to for-profit investors will have enough time to develop the comprehensive collection of requirements and technology that a successful PRT design requires.

Due to Semmelweis reflex and inadequate knowledge, few PRT systems have been fully tested. Thus, most of the systems shown on [Professor Schneider’s web page](#) are not serious competitors. However, here are four that may be.

ULTra PRT, which was developed at Bristol University in the United Kingdom, is now moving people and their luggage from parking lots into the terminals of Heathrow International Airport. This system uses a wide guideway, which has a large visual impact and is a snow-catcher in winter months. This system possesses the following characteristics, which will limit its use to fair weather, low-speed, low capacity systems that are small:

- Rotary motor propulsion and wheel braking limit minimum headways to about 6 seconds.
- Synchronous control software limits the practical system size.
- On-board battery power limits both speed and range while adding weight.



Posco's Vectus PRT built a demonstration project in Uppsala, Sweden which, like ULTra, uses a wide guideway. The vehicles are propelled by linear induction motors (LIMs) mounted in the guideway. These motors must be placed quite close together to be able to simulate continuous thrust resulting in a need for about ten times as many LIMs as if they were mounted in the vehicles. This makes the guideway heavier and costlier than a system, such as ITNS, in which the LIMs are mounted in the vehicle – even after including the weight and cost of ITNS power rails.



Glydways and Contra Costa County envision a PRT system that spans 4 cities over a 28-mile corridor – Antioch, Pittsburg, Martinez and Concord. Glydways is a private, for profit company using a proprietary design and dedicate guideways that are often at grade level using public right-of-ways. The Contra Costa project offers perhaps the best solution to climate change by going beyond zero to negative carbon emissions by combining PRT's power-efficiency with




photovoltaics embedded into the infrastructure to generate more energy than the PRT system consumes.

[Vuba Corp.](#) and the Government of Rwanda have achieved a significant milestone with the signing of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU). The parties have agreed to proceed together toward the development of Smart City Mobility technology in Rwanda, culminating in a proposed Advanced Transport Network (ATN) in the capital city of Kigali. To avoid the extra weight and cost of a wide guideway as used in ULra's supported-vehicle system (SVS), Vuba chose a hanging-vehicle system (HVS) design. In the section entitled The Tradeoff between Supported vs. Hanging Vehicles, Dr. Ed Anderson PhD P.E. reviews various factors (visual impact, cost for posts and foundations, ease of switching, guideway natural frequency, winter weather, and torsion in curves), and concludes that ITNS supported-vehicle design yields better economics and rider acceptance. (See pages 135-138 of Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit, <http://www.advancedtransit.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Anderson-JE-Contributions-to-the-Development-of-PRT-I.pdf> .)



[Swyft Cities](#) (a Google spin-off) also understands the importance of keeping weight down. Their design will use fixed cables between posts and switches to reduce weight. While such a design is expected to cost less than the ITNS design, how it will perform on the various factors mentioned above has yet to be demonstrated. In particular, extreme weather conditions may prove challenging for such an exposed cable system. Rider acceptance and feeling of security are both adversely affected by hanging vehicles; people feel more secure with supported cabs that don't sound and feel different at every merge and diverge. Less important for small-area networks is the top speed of 30 mph for Swyft; wide-area networks could



benefit from a top speed of 80+ mph available with the ITNS design. Capacity (number of vehicles/riders per span) may likewise be limited well below that available with ITNS.

An HVS design confronts another problem. For safety and maintenance reasons, simplification of switching is one of the most important considerations in the design of a PRT system. In an SVS, the path through each of the merges and diverges is continuous. In an HVS, there must be an arm extending down from a chassis inside the guideway to support the vehicle and, with gravity always acting downward, the support structures for the wheels cannot be continuous. There must of necessity be a mechanism to unload one set of wheels as the vehicle passes across the slot in a merge or diverge section of guideway. This is one more mechanism that can fail. In an SVS no such mechanism is needed.

Since the weight - and therefore cost - of the guideway is proportional to the weight of the vehicle, weight minimization is important. That factor sets ITNS hardware apart from other PRT options. Specifically, ITNS vehicles are expected to weigh only 1100 pounds - with the empty cabin weighing 500 lbs., and the assembled chassis weighing no more than 600 lbs.

ITNS represents a culmination of research and development directed at achieving an economical and reliable solution to urban transportation that will

- minimize use of land
- minimize use of materials and energy
- minimize pollution of all kinds
- provide an unparalleled level of service.

The work that led to ITNS was conducted by Dr. Anderson and colleagues at two major research universities, in cooperation with three government agencies and five major private companies. Remarkably, this combination of benefits also minimizes capital and operating costs for the LoopWorks PRT system in Milpitas.

The [Summary of the Site Assessment Report](#) for Kungens Kurva, Sweden (page 11) validates this point. The report compares the Benefit / Cost ratio of 3 types of PRT, and shows that the ITNS design of Taxi 2000 is substantially better than the other two options.

## Risks

The [ITNS Business Plan](#) (page 33) lists the following business risks which have been slightly modified to better reflect the business model of LoopWorks PRT in Milpitas.

1. **Management.** We are a small start-up company that will consist of managers and engineers who thus far have little experience in working together. The

investor must be satisfied that such a group, which must enlarge substantially, will work together cooperatively and successfully, and that new members will be provided opportunities to become thoroughly proficient in their assigned areas of the technology. The burden is on the company to be as certain as reasonably possible that new hires and contractors have the knowledge and commitment needed for success. By working in cooperation with established engineering companies that subcontract to us, we will accomplish more in a shorter time frame than by building a manufacturing company.

**2. Technology.** ITNS is a new configuration of technologies, albeit all existing technologies. These technologies must function economically, day after day, with less than one hour of delay for every 10,000 hours of operation for decades and with acceptable ride comfort and an outstanding safety record. Because computations practical only on digital computers are needed in planning, designing, manufacturing, operating, and managing PRT systems, the practicality of these systems has depended on advances in computer hardware, software, and fault tolerance. The investor must be satisfied that any necessary technical advancements will be used, and that the systems engineering team to be assembled will be sufficiently versed in such technologies. Under development for over 30 years, the proposed technology has been subject to extensive design reviews that have shown it to be well within the state of the art.

**3. Competition.** Several companies are planning, designing, and building PRT systems. The investor must be satisfied that the ITNS design will be strongly competitive to other approaches to PRT in both technology and people involved. However, no other PRT company has the ITNS design or the depth and breadth of experience possessed by Dr. Anderson, the world's leading expert on PRT systems. The process that has been the foundation of that expertise is described in Chapter 6. Rules of Engineering Design, pages 87 – 89 of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I.](#)

**4. Code Requirements.** Introducing a new system into the present complex fabric of industrial society requires compliance with a wide range of codes and standards. Mainly because of the Chicago PRT project (1990-1994), all the codes and standards required for PRT systems have been identified, the most important of which are the ASCE Automated People Mover Standards, the National Fire Safety Board standards for automated people movers, International Standards Organization ride-comfort standards, and the Americans with Disabilities Act. As our project begins, all the legally required standards will be assembled and reviewed.

**5. Costs and Schedules.** While our program plan has benefited over many years from a great deal of analysis, design, discussions with manufacturers, and operating experience, LoopWorks' plan to meet the projected costs and schedule

depends upon securing the services of many skilled personnel and companies. Inability to obtain the services when needed could delay the effort and increase its costs.

6. **Marketing.** As an O&M firm focused only on PRT within the City of Milpitas, LoopWorks has no need for marketing outside the city for riders. However, marketing will be done to cultivate Alternative Revenue Streams.

7. **Risk of Obsolescence.** While every effort has been made to insure an optimum, adaptable design – one very difficult to improve or circumvent – other technological breakthroughs may shorten the life expectancy of our approach to PRT. An important strategy in the design of ITNS has been to use off-the-shelf components wherever possible and to seek out new ideas that will improve performance and/or lower cost. No one can ever assume that no new technology can render any system obsolete, but the basic ideas that led to the PRT concept and how to optimize it have been thoroughly researched and are amenable to change when new technologies become practical.

8. **Additional Funding Needs.** While LoopWorks believes that the projected funding requirements are adequate to cover costs necessary to design, build, and prove its system, there can be no assurance that additional funds will not be needed. The cost projections and schedules we have developed have benefited from review of similar costs and schedules planned and achieved on similar projects going back to the early 1970s. The data, in addition to mature judgment, have led us to conclude that **our estimates are conservative**. Moreover, Dr. Anderson managed in six months the design and construction of a full-scale, automated, linear-induction-motor (LIM) propelled vehicle that ran flawlessly for thousands of rides on a 60-foot section of guideway. The cost and schedule experience from this project have been incorporated into our current cost estimates and schedules.

9. **Community Resistance.** The most common objection to PRT is about visual intrusion, a highly subjective matter but important nonetheless. While most people agree that overhead powerlines are not desirable, how would they respond to aesthetically-designed overhead guideways on which futuristic podcars silently glide along? And how does that compare to the appearance and noise of most city streets? What people think about Podcars as public



transportation was explored with a [user survey by Spartan Superway investigators at SJSU](#). The survey concluded that although nearly 80% of respondents had never of this type of system, 73% would feel comfortable "using an automated public transport system", while 67% would "be willing to support the building of it with an increase in taxes."

## Value proposition

The urgency of our Climate Crisis demands bold, out-of-the-box solutions that can be scaled to meet the challenges we face. LoopWorks is working to implement one such solution – a solution that represents a paradigm shift in public transit that promises high-leverage possibilities for improving the lives of people.

LoopWorks' paradigm shift will produce extraordinary service for residents and visitors around the Milpitas Metro Area. The leveraging follows from the real-world data this project will provide transit experts and decision-makers. That data and the knowledge we gain from building this first PRT system is the biggest payoff for investing in this project. The LoopWorks PRT system will provide data on safety, reliability, comfort, and both capital and operating costs. (See Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.)

Those taking the time to understand the full extent of the mobility problem facing today's cities will discover that the solutions presently being proposed do not go far enough. While they may alleviate some problems, other serious issues are ignored. [Transportation Options for Greenville](#) (page 43) outlines the situation by examining the impact of 5 factors: congestion, accessibility, safety, land use and infrastructure. [Conclusion of the report: the automobile infrastructure is the real problem](#). The PRT paradigm addresses that problem.

In addition to the brief economic considerations presented here, ITNS presents a more thorough Economics analysis as part of Task #1 of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume II](#) (pages 559-607).

LoopWorks, as a taxable non-profit mutual benefit corporation, enjoys advantages unavailable to government agencies. Both can build a PRT system, and share information publicly to encourage replication. However, given the long history of resistance by governmental institutions to PRT, there is little reason to believe any one of them will precede our efforts to build the first-in-the-nation PRT system. The latest example comes from Mountain View where efforts to investigate advanced transit have been [pushed back another year](#). Thus, LoopWorks may be the first to enjoy the following benefits.

## Land Savings

As shown in the following 4 images, a single PRT guideway can carry as many vehicles as 3 lanes of roadway. Such high capacity without significant land requirements can benefit two types of developments:

- 1) In already-developed areas like Milpitas, transit capacity can be added without much disruption. Thus, PRT can support Opportunity Housing and other policies that increase density in suburban areas by reducing the demand of those additional people for parking and driving space. Perhaps even more important from an environmental frame is that PRT service sparked by Opportunity Housing will provide existing residents with easy access to non-polluting transportation.
- 2) In new developments, far lower land requirements enables lower overall costs or more natural area preserved. That is especially important given that more than 50 percent of the area projected to be urban in 2030 has yet to be built. ([Cities and Biodiversity Outlook](#), A Global Assessment of the Links between Urbanization, Biodiversity, and Ecosystem Services)



Figure 17.1. A Freeway Running at Capacity.



Figure 17.2. The People Riding.

Figure 17.3 shows all of the people moved to the center and Figure 17.4 shows the vehicles in which they could be riding. This pair of guideways can also carry 6000 vehicles per hour – the throughput of the entire three-lane freeway. We would normally put these guideways along the fence lines so that the stations would be near people's destinations, but the figure illustrates the land savings. A typical freeway width from fence line to fence line is about 300 feet.



## Unique Value

PRT service is more like that of individual cars rather than mass-transit trains and buses. Trips on PRT vehicles are characterized by:

- Little or no waiting
- No transfers
- Nonstop, seated travel
- Choice of traveling alone or with friends/family
- Very short walking distances due to numerous stations

Driverless cars promise improved mobility for people unable or unwilling to drive themselves. The same could be said of PRT. Additionally, PRT provides great service while virtually eliminating noise and air pollution. Driverless cars can complement PRT, which in turn complements other transit options. Several

studies have shown that PRT will attract at least five and possibly 10 times as many trips as conventional transit serving the same area.

PRT's greatest value is where the roads are congested and there is no room for a bus or train. PRT is attractive in congested areas for these reasons:

- Only very small, widely-separated land plots are needed instead of wide, continuous strips of land.
- PRT's low per-passenger-mile cost results from low capital and operating costs combined with high ridership.
- Along with energy efficiency, PRT provides higher average speeds and shorter trip times (without energy-consuming high-speed cruising between stations) by eliminating intermediate stops.
- Using electromotive force allows use of carbon-free energy sources, which has become critical as the need to reduce fossil fuel emissions has increased.
- Other automobile-generated pollutants (toxic fumes, noise, brake lining dust, tire particles, etc.) are dramatically reduced.


## **PRT Synergy**

PRT provides convenient connections between existing transportation options. That feature produces the benefit of dramatically higher use of those options. Too much single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) driving causes congestion. Yet, the many attempts made to reduce it have been ineffective. This abstract from a 188-page peer-reviewed study, however, indicates that the [SOV rate at a high-tech job center could be cut in half](#):


ABSTRACT: A five-mile, \$50M Personal Rapid Transit (PRT) "shuttle" system is proposed for Palo Alto's Stanford Research Park (SRP), complementing and significantly increasing the attractiveness of commuter rail, carpool, vanpool, bicycle, and bus commutes for the center's 20,000 employees. ... A complex travel demand analysis was conducted on a sample of suburban employees, of which 89% drive alone. When presented with a hypothetical Year 2008 commute alternative scenario, where PRT solved the "last mile" problem and new mobility services solved specific objections, drive alone commutes dropped to only 45%. Extrapolating to the entire office park, 6,600 cars per day are removed, freeing 50 acres of parking for reclamation, conservatively worth \$150M. It appears possible to eliminate traffic congestion and air pollution without lifestyle sacrifice ... The model for Palo Alto plausibly translates to other job-rich major employment centers.

The reason that the [SOV rate could be cut in half](#) is shown below by the oval which represents a PRT system that quickly and conveniently connects all the

other forms of transportation together. No matter how a commuter gets to the PRT system, it will quickly deliver them along the next leg of their trip. To a large degree, this 2003 study of SRP anticipated Transportation as a Service (TaaS). Implementation today could be easier and more effective than many years ago. For example, now Uber/Lyft fill the role of "Smart jitney".



These two impacts – dramatically cutting SOV driving and major increases in transit ridership – have been predicted in many studies as shown in the chart below.



As envisioned, the LoopWorks PRT system will increase unionized transit employment by increasing ridership on public transit. The current level of bus service provided in the Metro Area will likely increase to accommodate the dramatic increase in demand predicted by both charts. PRT can also generate demand and increases in overall transit use through improved neighborhood bus feeder system opportunities.

## Safety and Security

The safety of LoopWorks' PRT system is expected to be better than the technology used in the Morgantown PRT system – which is itself much safer than conventional surface transportation. With over 200 million injury-free passenger miles, the PRT system at the University of West Virginia has demonstrated it is **50X safer than cars**. Regular transit would have injured over a hundred passengers in that many miles. In fact, the vehicles and guideways of the PRT system will be much safer than the platforms, stairs and elevators common in conventional transit systems.

In 2006, [ANALYSIS OF SAFETY AND SECURITY CONCERNS For Automated Small Vehicle Transportation \(ASVT\) On A University Campus](#) was published. Funded by Kansas Department of Transportation, it made these findings about ASVT (their term for PRT):

"Safety issues result from accidental causes, and security issues result from deliberate causes, but the effects are similar and both were analyzed in terms of threats (or hazards) and vulnerabilities. ... The [study](#) concludes that

there is no aspect of ASVT that poses any significant security or safety issues that have not been successfully mitigated in other forms of public transit. Furthermore, the inherent nature of ASVT in which passengers are aggregated in small groups rather than large groups provides significant threat deterrence when compared to traditional transit."

PRT's security-enhancing separation of people into small groups works even during times of high demand. PRT service is distributed first through many small stations and then through parallel paths rather than bulked up along a single major transit line. This design feature avoids high-volume stations, interchanges and concentrated corridors that could be points of vulnerability to terrorist attack or robbery. By eliminating crowds at stations and in vehicles, security is improved and riders feel safer and more comfortable.


Concerns about security and vandalism at the stations will be addressed through a combination of [standard practices](#) including installing motion-sensing and tracking video recorder systems. The video stream could easily be linked to the police substation a short distance away at the Great Mall. The LoopWorks Human Interface (Ergonomics) of both stations and vehicles will enhance both security and safety. Furthermore, multiple aspects of PRT can support police objectives with lots of CCTV feeds, safer cyclists and pedestrians due being separated from the streets, and more "eyes on the street" due to views from elevated PRT cabs combined with direct communications from the cab occupants to Central Control.

## Benefits for Milpitas

The effects of traffic congestion on quality-of-life is a big issue in Milpitas, especially in the Metro Area. A 16-page [traffic analysis](#) of the area's congestion states that congestion is bad and will likely get worse. And, as noted above, Driverless Vehicles traversing our roadways will not reduce congestion. LoopWorks PRT will provide residents, workers and visitors an alternative that mitigates congestion.

### Milpitas Metro Area Congestion

[Traffic data](#) shows Milpitas experiencing approximately 3% annual growth in traffic volume on our roadways. Accumulated over the past 10 years, that 30% increase in traffic correlates well with the 30% increase in Valley jobs (compared to only 4% growth in housing). That unbalanced growth rate between jobs and housing is not something Milpitas can rectify alone, although thousands of housing units are being built in the Metro Area.



Furthermore, traffic patterns around the Milpitas BART station will change once its passenger service begins. BART's estimated 12,000 daily transit passengers (projected to rise to 20,000 in 2030) represents a potential 20% increase above recent estimates of 60,000 vehicles per day passing the station on Montague Expressway. Only 1650 parking spots will be available for the estimated 4000 daily passengers arriving by private motor vehicles (7200 are expected to arrive/depart by bus). Most of the transit ridership passing through the BART Transit Center will be able to use the LoopWorks PRT.

These are the big-picture trends: 1) more jobs = more traffic and congestion, and 2) opening BART Transit Center = more traffic and congestion. Refer to the [Transit Area Traffic Analysis](#) for details on level of service (LOS) measures, housing growth and impacts, and capital improvement projects (CIP) planned in the Metro Area.

Unfortunately, both walking and cycling in the Metro Area are discouraged by the many physical barriers: 2 sets of railroad tracks, 2 major limited-access roadways, and a creek. The ubiquity of PRT service in the area will make walking and cycling far more attractive, thus producing the dramatic increase in Ridership noted above.


### **Direct Benefits to Milpitas of PRT System**

The LoopWorks PRT system will provide these benefits to the community:

- less traffic
- less greenhouse gas
- more vibrant city
- more auto-free mobility
- improved public transit
- increased ability to attract employees
- increased commercial, industrial and residential land values
- increased retail sales
- no taxpayer cost
- potential for expansion within the City

### **Leveraged Benefits**

Test sites, demonstrations and one-off systems show the promise of PRT. However, what is really needed are initial deployments that can grow, be replicated, and scale. The Morgantown PRT System has been enormously successful for 45 years (an essentially perfect safety record, an excellent availability record and customer valued



mobility). Unfortunately, the system was never expanded or duplicated anywhere. It is a one-off.

Although demos and one-offs are "great", replication and scaling is required to deliver PRT's potential value. And it is possible. Consider, for example, the rapid spread of the electric trolley. In 1888, Frank Sprague successfully deployed a small [electric street railway system in Richmond, VA](#), which became the reference for many other cities. "... [By 1889 110 electric railways incorporating Sprague's equipment had been begun or planned on several continents...](#)" Although eventually supplanted by the automobile, for more than 30 years trolleys delivered substantial improvements to the quality-of-life for many, many people.

Replication and scaling, so necessary to reverse Global Warming, is what the LoopWorks project promises. **It can grow locally, be replicated virally, and scale to serve large metropolitan areas.** This local system can grow until it serves most of the 14 square miles that comprise Milpitas. The technology can be replicated most anywhere experiencing congestion problems. And PRT can scale from a simple crossing of a creek through small-area coverage (as envisioned for Milpitas) all the way up to [serve a large metropolitan area like San José](#).

Assisting the viral spread of PRT technology will be popular media. As has occurred previously with other PRT advances, magazines, newspapers, on-line news sources, television stations, cable channels, etc. will be anxious to describe the PRT system to their audiences. A great deal of additional advertising will be provided by [other groups](#) and individuals that have already been watching progress on this project.

Critical to that rapid replication is the data provided by the LoopWorks PRT system on safety, reliability, comfort, capital costs, and operating and maintenance costs. (See Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.) This data will be leveraged by communities across the country as they build their own systems.

Prof. Anderson's demonstration system during the 2003 Minnesota State Fair prompted a reporter to ask: "What was the most surprising thing about it?" Dr. Anderson responded "the thrill people got out of riding only 40 feet." That feel-good embrace of this technology has been repeated with each new PRT installation. People responding positively to PRT will be invaluable as it spreads to other communities.

## **Network Value**

PRT systems are easy-to-expand networks. Under [Metcalf's Law](#), the value of a network rises with the square of the number of connected nodes ( $n^2$ ). In the case of a PRT network, each station is a node. For example, the proposed Mini-Loop with 5 stations provides 25 units of value ( $5 \times 5$ ). By adding 7 more stations with

the BART Circulator (12 stations total), the system value jumps to 144 units of value (12 x 12). (See Corridor vs. Grid.) Non-linear growth of value as a network expands will provide the impetus to grow the initial PRT system – perhaps until it serves most of the 14 square miles that comprise Milpitas.

### **Potential Markets**

Early deployments of PRT, mainly for passenger movement, are expected to be found in highly congested business districts, airports, theme parks, college campuses, office parks, hospital complexes, shopping centers, and retirement communities. However, as noted above, pedestrian overcrossings (POCs) may be the easiest path for initial introduction into other communities.

Today, untapped demand for ITNS lies in every corner of the world, and the return to the investor could exceed almost any other investment. A lead investigator estimated the worldwide market to be over \$1 trillion. The internal paper “Economics of ITNS Networks” and its Excel companion piece provide a methodology for estimating the return on investment as an ITNS-based system expands over a metropolitan area. Network Value is at the core of PRT’s potential.

In an effort to provide transparency and encourage others to pursue their own PRT projects, LoopWorks will build and maintain a website with information relevant to such endeavors. A successful PRT system in Milpitas will likely lead to presentations at many transportation and planning conferences. LoopWorks will develop brochures, displays and presentation slides to support those appearances. These materials will also be available on the website.

### **Supportive Trends and Forces**


Our worsening Climate Crisis is compelling people and institutions to consider new solutions. Primary among the efforts is the Green New Deal that proposes to create 20 million jobs by transitioning to 100% clean renewable energy by investing in public transit and other sustainable infrastructure. Research funds will be redirected from fossil fuels into renewable energy and conservation. PRT projects are likely to benefit from trends to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increased government-sponsored employment.

At the same time, Baby Boomers approaching the end of their lives are more often thinking about giving back to their communities and creating some sort of legacy. Wouldn’t a PRT project satisfy either desire? Other seniors in their seventies, eighties, and nineties become concerned about travel to their many activities. Trends predict longer life expectancy, a core desire for active lifestyles, and a growing senior population. These trends will support the demand for, and the spread of, PRT systems as more seniors look for alternatives to driving.

The trend to reduce transportation-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions is especially powerful in areas like Milpitas due to a mild climate and poor transit service. In Milpitas, transportation accounts for 59% of the city's carbon emissions – far greater than the statewide average of 40%. While making progress on reducing emissions, Milpitas has far to go to meet state-mandated climate goals. The high GHG emissions in the transportation sector means pressure will build for solutions there regardless of successes in other sectors.

## **Pedestrian Over-Crossings (POCs) Obsolete?**

As mentioned above in Capital Costs to Build Transit, Pedestrian Over-Crossings (POC) cost about \$150M/mile to cross creeks, roadways, railroads and other barriers. Using standard steel-and-concrete bridges has become increasingly costly in terms of money and time. For example, the Don Burnett Bicycle-Pedestrian Bridge (shown below) is a 503-foot-long cable-stayed bridge over Interstate 280 that connects Cupertino with Sunnyvale. It was completed in 2008 at a cost of \$14.8 million, or \$155M/mile. At \$30M/mile (bi-directional), PRT could provide comparable service for one-fifth the cost.



Another example comes from the Metro Area in Milpitas. The City of Milpitas just spent \$4.5M of its own money to partner with VTA in building the 200-foot, \$14M POC over Montague Expressway (from the VTA parking structure to The Edge Apartments). Another crossing of Montague is called for in the City's [Transit Area Specific Plan](#). Using PRT technology to ferry people across Montague Expy. appears to cost less than \$4M.

If moving people across barriers with PRT technology proves cost-effective, PRT can substitute for POCs across the country. PRT technology would make currently-too-costly crossings more affordable, and therefore more likely to be built and used sooner. Given the extensive need for barrier crossings just in Santa Clara County, the potential number of PRT shuttles in the U. S. could exceed 1000.

## **Commuter Rail Obsolete?**

BART and Caltrain are commuter rail options in the Bay Area. As mentioned above in Capital Costs to Build Transit, Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) costs about \$130-230M/mile (at ground level, tripled for underground). For that investment, workers

and residents get transit capable of moving 50,000 people per hour at an average speed of 40 mph. Although capable of 80 – 90 mph, BART trains are slowed by station stops and dwell times. High Capacity PRT (HCPRT), with all stations offline, can maintain 80 – 100 mph speeds between origin and destination stations.

While riders appreciate faster speeds, the capacity of the system also matters – especially during rush hours. A great deal of evidence shows that HCPRT cabs can run about 0.5 second apart. Due to the use of LIMs (linear induction motors) for acceleration/deceleration, spacing between vehicles can be less than traditional rubber tire traction. For example, a program by the Automated Highway Consortium demonstrated four automobiles running about 0.25 seconds apart at 60 mph. So we can assume that 2 HCPRT cabs can pass a point every second. [\*The Future of High-Capacity Personal Rapid Transit\*](#) recommends a 2.7-meter (9 ft) long vehicle with one bench seat that can be occupied by three adults, giving 2 cabs/second x 3 people/cab = 6 persons per second.

So, in 1 hour (3600 seconds), HCPRT can move 21,600 people on a single guideway. While less than half the possible throughput of BART, it is enough. The Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) estimates that only 55,000 people will use BART in downtown San Jose each day! Thus, HCPRT can accommodate the peak rush-hour demand of about 15,000 passengers per hour. And more capacity can be added with additional stations and parallel guideways.

Because there is no wait time at the neighborhood station, and speeds are high and non-stop, many PRT riders will achieve a door-to-door time savings that is greater than driving alone – and that is the goal of mass transit! Offer people an alternative that is better than the one they have. Time savings combined with a private space could generate ridership only dreamed of by transit officials.

For various reasons, commuter rail routing opportunities are few. Often, because commuter rail shares tracks with freight trains, schedules and speeds may be reduced. Even when dedicated tracks are laid for BART, already-existing railroad right of way (r-o-w) must be used because everywhere else in the metropolitan area is built up. Any effort to veer from an existing railroad r-o-w is also constrained by the wide turning radius of rail cars. Because HCPRT is small and elevated, it can share r-o-w with existing train lines. Also, HCPRT enjoys the routing flexibility of urban PRT due to short vehicles and small guideways.

To recap, when compared with BART, HCPRT offers ...

- higher average speed (80 mph vs. 40 mph)
- lower cost (\$30M/mile vs. \$200M/mile)
- Rider satisfaction with direct point to point zero stop travel (vs not)
- right-of-way sharing with other transit options (vs. not)
- flexible routing (vs. not)

## Project Description

ITNS-designed PRT is a fundamentally new form of public transportation. It is designed to provide users with a high level of service, safely and reliably, over an urban area without the need for driving. Furthermore, PRT maximizes ridership while minimizing cost, energy use, material use, land use, and noise. Being electrically operated, it does not emit greenhouse gases nor any fossil-fuel pollutants.

This remarkable set of attributes is achieved by operating small, light-weight, automatically controlled vehicles on a network of minimum-weight, minimum-sized exclusive guideways. Non-stop travel between origin and destination stations is achieved by placing all stations off the main line, i.e. off-line. To achieve reliable all-weather operation, the system uses non-contact linear induction motors (LIMs).

## Location, Location, Location

For most areas in the South Bay, congestion is not a big problem – but it is in the Milpitas Metro Area. By the end of 2020, a major transit hub in that area at the southern edge of Milpitas will offer access to BART, LRT, bus and Uber/Lyft along with provisions for cyclists and pedestrians. Unfortunately, 1) various barriers discourage access to that cornucopia of transportation options, and 2) already-bad traffic congestion in the Milpitas Metro Area will worsen as the expected total of 15,000 dwelling units (> 30K residents) are occupied and the BART Transit Center starts operating (12,000 daily BART riders plus a similar number for all the other transit hub options combined). Driverless Vehicles without multiple riders will further increase congestion due to latent demand (by people unable to drive) and dead-heading (driving around empty to fetch the next rider).

Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure in the area violates the "8-80 Rule" that if an 8 year old or 80 year old can't ride that bike lane safely or comfortably, then it's not equitable infrastructure. Two barriers in particular (Great Mall Parkway and Montague Expressway) separate nearly all housing in the Milpitas Metro Area from the BART Transit Center. Although both are walkable/bikeable, most parents would not want their children using those high-volume, limited-access roadways unless absolutely necessary. Even for experienced cyclists, these roads are not convivial – and, thus, another impediment to getting people to use alternative (non-car) transportation options.

Other barriers to travel in the Metro Area include the BART tracks, a separate set of railroad tracks, and a creek. Access by motor vehicle will likely remain the dominant way to get to the BART Transit Center, especially for residents living in

the northern half of Milpitas. Unfortunately, access by motor vehicle can be problematic during commute hours due to the heavy congestion between I-680 and I-880 – congestion that will likely worsen as driverless vehicles become common, thousands of new residents arrive, and the BART Transit Center starts operating.

In such a congested, high-density area, common sense suggests moving into the 3<sup>rd</sup> dimension by considering technologies that use elevated guideways such as Personal Rapid Transit (PRT), Group Rapid Transit (GRT), and Automated People Movers (APM). PRT capacity is adequate to serve the expected demand, so higher capacity GRT or APM options are an unnecessary expense.

### **Milpitas PRT System**

An advanced transit PRT system comprised of two loop (one within the other) is proposed to mitigate both the congestion and the accessibility issues described above. (Here is an [8-slide overview](#) of the project.) Using small electric vehicles on an elevated guideway, residents from 7 separate housing areas could easily access

- the BART station
- new elementary school
- an industrial/business park
- The Great Mall
- a grocery store (Trader Joe's)
- and three city parks

Residents and visitors can get there and back without using a car, and without walking/cycling out-of-the-way routes on high-volume, high-speed roadways.

Route planning followed a few principles to minimize the impact of installing the guideway structure on existing rights of way. These included:

- Avoiding guideway placement that would take away car-traffic lanes.
- Avoiding conflict with street trees.
- Avoiding areas with dense electric cables and poles.
- Identifying spaces for potential station sites along the route.
- Preventing overlap between guideways by using merges, not crossings.



As shown above, an initial dual-loop PRT system is proposed to include 4 miles of guideway connecting 12 stations for a cost of \$60M (4 miles x \$15M/mile), about the cost of Milpitas City Hall in inflation-adjusted dollars. Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs would run between \$0.6M and \$1.8M per year (\$60M x 1-3%).

Upon successful completion of this system, extensions are expected that may ultimately cover most of Milpitas. Regardless, this small PRT project will draw international attention to the city, and help validate estimated costs and benefits.

## **Outstanding ROI Potential**

With successful operation of the Milpitas PRT system, the transportation picture for many United States metropolitan areas could change – rapidly! The PRT adoption rate could match that of the electric trolley when first successfully deployed over a hundred years ago. Investment in this project could generate Leveraged Benefits sparked by a wave of systems across the country within ten years that would generate benefits totaling tens of billions of dollars.

Adoption rates elsewhere are expected to be high partly because siting PRT is far easier than other conventional rail-based transit projects where routes are often the determining factor with station locations secondary. PRT technology encourages placing stations where they make sense because guideway routing between stations is easy. PRT can handle tight turning radii and steep gradients (10% or more) while gliding over most ground-level obstructions. Thus, destinations (station locations) can be chosen first, and then routes planned to connect them.

Most people familiar with the Milpitas Metro Area would agree that stations are needed to serve the BART Transit Center, the Great Mall, and the elementary school. Other popular destinations were selected, and routing between them identified. In all the outreach efforts conducted on behalf of this project, no substantial objections have surfaced – neither to station locations nor guideway routing. Thus, only minor adjustments of guideway paths and station locations are expected.

A Milpitas PRT System combined with the current transportation options would enhance the usefulness of them all (see PRT Synergy). In particular, it would provide convenient access to/from the BART Transit Center for residents, their visitors, and Metro Area business employees and customers. Commuters will benefit from a safer, easier rides to their home or transit connection when opting to leave their cars at home. LoopWorks PRT will enable pedestrians and cyclists to travel safely between destinations where no safe options currently exist. Bus routes and TaaS vehicles (Lyft/Uber) could be scaled back as PRT serves more people, more conveniently in the area. Ridership of both this advanced transit system and the existing transportation options is likely to be high due to improved access and expanded service.

Capital cost to build PRT is competitive with other options (as outlined above in Capital Costs to Build Transit). Likewise, O&M costs are far lower than conventional transit as outlined in Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs. Also, a bridge planned for the area won't be needed. City documents show a planned \$14M east-west pedestrian over-crossing of Montague (along the Penitencia Creek channel). Instead, PRT would provide the needed service, thus saving the City and VTA the expense.


The PRT system promises **individual benefits** of convenience, commute time reduction, and free time. In addition to on-demand, no-wait vehicles available at 12 conveniently-located stations, non-stop/non-transfer rides to any of those stations reduces door-to-door time to less than that of driving. And PRT riders may use that travel time for purposes other than attending to driving. People with mobility, visual and hearing disabilities will find PRT particularly useful – as will those older adults and youngsters who cannot drive.

**Societal benefits** include increasing transportation equity and reducing fossil fuel emissions. As mentioned in PRT Synergy, dramatically cutting SOV driving combined with major increases in transit ridership has been predicted in many studies. The traditional mass transit option – buses – capture a modal split in most U. S. cities that hovers around 3%. Estimation of ridership on a PRT system range from 25% to greater than 50% of the daily trips. See [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I](#) (page 214). Even higher ridership potential in some places is shown in the table on page 11 of a [study comparing LRT, GRT and PRT](#).

In addition to providing extraordinary service to residents and visitors around the Milpitas Metro Area, this project will provide transit experts and decision-makers with real-world data about capital costs, O&M costs, public acceptance, ridership, and transit synergy. The primary value to be gained from building this first PRT system will be the Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.

## Hardware

The LoopWorks PRT System is markedly superior to any other PRT design because resources were invested sorting through all the ways a PRT system could be built. As shown below, there are many factors to consider when designing a PRT system. For example, selection of a guideway requires 3 choices from 7 options (upper left corner). Such a large number of variables with complex relationships between them presents a daunting challenge to PRT designers.




## Major Design Trade-offs

Despite the complexity of 10 quadrillion combinations, ITNS sorted through the design factors. Here are the major design trade-offs examined in the [ITNS Business Plan](#) (page 9), and the [options chosen](#) for implementation for the Milpitas PRT system:

1. Exclusive Guideway vs. Mixed Traffic
2. In Vehicle vs. In Track Switching
3. Small Vehicles vs. Large Vehicles
4. Off-line vs. On-line Stations
5. Captive Vehicles vs. Dual Mode
6. Supported Vehicles vs. Hanging Vehicle
7. Suspension on Wheels vs. Magnetic Suspension (Maglev)

8. Propulsion by Linear Motors vs. Rotary Motors
9. Linear Induction Motors vs. Linear Synchronous Motors
10. Motors in Vehicles vs. Motors in the Guideway
11. Power Source at Wayside vs. On Board
12. Guideway Narrow vs. Wide
13. Control Asynchronous, Synchronous, Quasi-Synchronous, or Trans-Synchronous
14. Control Point Follower vs. Car Follower

Dr. Anderson's document "[How to Reduce Congestion and Save Energy](#)" (pages 13 - 22) outlines the rationale behind each design decision in the context of resolving design problems. As an example, the decision to choose a narrow guideway follows from this chart:




Here is the cost distribution of our system, showing with no surprise that the guideway, being the most expensive component, deserves primary attention.<sup>15</sup> Years before, I found in a surprising number of PRT development programs that the guideway design was taken as an afterthought, perhaps because control received the bulk of attention.

The [ITNS Business Plan](#) delves more deeply into the rational behind each major trade-off. Beyond hardware trade-offs are other considerations, perhaps the most critical being the impact of line speed upon design choices:

"All of the important parameters involved with network design depend on line speed. Kinetic energy, air drag, curve radius, and stopping distance all depend on the square of speed. The power to overcome air drag increases as the cube of speed; and, with given guideway roughness, however small it may be, the suspension required is more demanding as speed increases. The system will therefore become more expensive as line speed increases, and this must be balanced against the expected increase in ridership as the average trip speed increases. The bottom line is that the planner needs to know how line speed affects cost per passenger-mile." (page 89)

## Hardware Attributes

Details of the ITNS design are explained in the 69-page [Technical Specifications for ITNS Technology](#). Here are the attributes of the ITNS hardware design used by LoopWorks in the Milpitas PRT System:



- Off-line stations.
- Fully automatic control.
- Minimum-sized, minimum weight vehicles.
- Small, light-weight, generally elevated steel-truss guideways. (pictured)
- Vehicles ride above guideway to minimize cost and maximize both rider comfort and speed range.
- Hierarchical, modular, asynchronous control to permit indefinite system expansion.
- Dual duplex computers for high dependability and safety.
- Accurate, dual position and speed sensors.
- Dual linear-induction-motor propulsion and braking for all-weather operation.
- Smooth running surfaces for a comfortable ride.
- High-pressure, pneumatic tires to minimize guideway cross section and weight, and to minimize rolling resistance and noise.
- Guideway support-posts separated by at least 90 ft (27 m) to meet planning requirements.
- Propulsive power from two electric lines within the guideway (dual wayside bars shown upper right in photo) for high system reliability.
- Adaptable to all renewable energy sources.
- Switching with no moving track parts to permit reliable, no-transfer travel in networks.
- Well lit, CCTV-surveyed stations to insure passenger security and reduce vandalism.
- Nonstop trips with known companions or alone.
- Adequate speed, variable with application and location in a network.
- Vehicle movement only when trips are requested.
- Automatic empty-vehicle rerouting to fill stations.
- Planned & unplanned maintenance *within the system*.
- Full compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.



As materials science evolves and the price of electricity-storing batteries falls, LoopWorks will consider their use. By powering from wayside batteries charged at night, the PRT system need not add to the peak utility load, and may reduce

operating (fuel) costs. PRT stations may be desirable sites for storage batteries, whether owned by LoopWorks or another party.

As part of the engineering phase, two technologies will be investigated for their possible application to, and integration with, ITNS PRT designs. [Ultra-High Performance Concrete \(UHPC\)](#) promises faster installation times, while Bosch Captive Columns could reduce guideway cost and weight. Whatever is learned from these investigations will be included in the Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.

Also during the engineering phase, the use of solar panels will be investigated. Although likely used above PRT stations, deploying photovoltaic panels along guideways is not currently planned. However, this phase is a good opportunity to investigate the costs to attach extra infrastructure to the guideway support posts to accommodate solar panels running parallel to the guideway. Along with costs, benefits (value of generated electricity and shade) will also be estimated and compared with [Spartan Superway estimates](#).

## Human Interface (Ergonomics)



While engineers appreciate specific hardware features, the interface of that hardware with people is arguably what matters most in a successful system. These are the rules designed into the ITNS system that directly impact people.

### **Station Facilities**

- People must be able to select the destination in the simplest possible way – by viewing a map of all possible destinations.
- If, upon seeing the vehicle door open, the rider notices that the vehicle has been vandalized or needs cleaning, there must be a means of rejecting the vehicle and notifying system personnel.
- For reasons of security, each station must be equipped with video cameras connected to screens at a control room, there must be a means of alerting control room personnel that they must pay attention to a specific station, and there must be a two-way voice communications system between the control room and either all stations or one specific station.
- It must not be possible for a patron to walk out onto the guideway.
- The station must be well lit and have fire-extinguishing equipment.

### **Passenger and Environmental Controls**

There shall be three buttons conveniently located in the vehicle that can be actuated by the passengers: a “Go” button, a “Stop” button, and an “Emergency” button.

- The “Go” button causes the door to close and signals to the station computer that the vehicle is ready to leave the station.
- The “Stop” button causes the vehicle to stop at the next station and then the door to open after it is stopped.
- The “Emergency” button alerts a human operator located in a control station to inquire through a communications system as to the problem. If the rider feels the temperature in the vehicle is too high or too low, the operator can adjust it. When the system grows to include stations at the police department building and the [County Health Clinic](#), then operator options increase to include: 1) if the rider indicates sickness, the operator can change the vehicle’s destination to that of the clinic, and 2) if the rider is in danger, the operator can change the vehicle’s destination to that of the police station.

### **Voice Communications**

There shall be a two-way voice communication system in each vehicle to connect an individual vehicle or a group of vehicles to the system’s control room. (Vehicle-to-vehicle communications is available through privately-owned cell phones.) This voice system is separate from the data communication system that controls the speed and position of the vehicles. There will be a television screen in the front center of the vehicle near the floor. It must be possible for the passengers to turn the set ON or OFF, and if ON to switch to site-specific advertising, travel information about the passing surroundings, news, entertainment, or information about system operation and features.

## Lighting

The cabin will be equipped with reading lights that can be switch ON or OFF by the passengers. Exterior lighting is optional but low lumen lights are recommended, both front-facing "parking lights" and red tail lights.

## HVAC

A heating, ventilating, and air conditioning (HVAC) system shall be designed into the cabin, with the large components, such as the compressor and the drive motor, placed in the compartment behind the seat.

## Accommodations

The cabin is to be designed to accommodate any portion of the following maximum weight/bulk rider combinations:

- a person in a standard-sized wheelchair entering from the side (then turning to face forward) and a companion
- 3 adults and 2 children
- a person with a bicycle
- 2 people with large suitcases
- 2 people with a baby carriage.



## Visual Intrusion

In those cases where it is undesirable to have passengers looking down into sensitive or private areas, the vehicles could be designed with windows that automatically fog over for that segment of the journey.

## Codes

The system shall be in conformance with the following codes and standards:

- American National Standards Institute (ANSI)
- Electronic Industries Association (EIA)
- Insulated Cable Engineers Association (ICEA)
- Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE)
- National Electrical Code (NEC/NFPA 70)
- National Electrical Safety Code (NESC)
- National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)
- National Fire Protection Association (NFPA)
- Underwriters Laboratory (UL)

The BART station is [built in a flood plain](#). Thus, so will be the Mini-Loop and BART Circulator. When siting electrical power in the area, elevating it will be required under Section XI-15 of the Milpitas Municipal code regarding construction in a flood plain. Although this requirement is already in place courtesy of NEC/NESC, this note is included out of an abundance of caution.

In addition to building codes adopted by the City of Milpitas, LoopWorks will follow policy guidelines in the Milpitas Metro Specific Plan such as *SD 5.2. Carbon Storage* which calls for use of building materials that store carbon (e.g. wood, calcium carbonate-based cementitious substances, synthetic limestone) in all non-residential construction.

## **Certification (CPUC)**

Certification of a PRT system relies upon getting the permission from various government agencies that regulate the safety of things with which people interact. For example, the cabin must be designed to comply with the requirements of [ISO 9000](#) (quality management) and [NPFA 130](#) (guideway standards). In addition to all the applicable Codes identified above, the [California Public Utilities Commission \(CPUC\)](#) must certify the LoopWorks PRT system before starting public operations.

The [CPUC](#) has safety and security regulatory authority over all rail transit and other public transit fixed guideway systems under Public Utilities Code Section 99152 and other statutes. ... The CPUC ensures that all rail transit system extensions and new construction projects undergo a safety certification review and approval.

LoopWorks will involve the CPUC early, and keep them involved throughout design, construction, and O&M (during which LoopWorks is required to [report incidents](#) that meet specific thresholds). The CPUC will focus on the project (not the technology) and the project owner (not the contractors). Thus, this CPUC-interface function is one that LoopWorks will retain as it contracts out the final design, fabrication, construction and testing. Meetings between LoopWorks and the Milpitas Fire Department will also be held to ensure this infrastructure project supports their goals.

The goal is attaining a [CPUC General Order 164-E](#) certification to permit LoopWorks to commence PRT operations for the public. The framework for LoopWorks' relationship with the CPUC will be *Rules and Regulations Governing State Safety Oversight of Rail Fixed Guideway Systems*. For the purpose of ensuring a high level of safety, ITNS has augmented these rules with its own Test Program in Task #11 of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume II](#) (pages 1495-1509).

Although [General Order 164-E](#) does not mention ASTM standards, LoopWorks will ensure that the PRT system meets the standards set by the [ASTM International F-24 Committee on Amusement Rides and Devices](#). By doing so, the ITNS design will meet standards across the globe, thereby addressing requirements involved in deploying systems outside of California.

ASTM International is one of the largest standards-writing bodies in the world. The F-24 committee establishes standards on amusement ride design and manufacturing, testing, operation, maintenance, inspection, and quality assurances. The ASTM standards are used in 44 of 50 States and many nations.

The [ASTM standards](#):

- Are widely used and well established.
- Are tailored to pedestrians, especially children.
- Have an existing enforcement industry.
- Have an existing insurance industry.
- Have an existing common law. This is very important because privately funded transportation networks will not have the sovereign immunity that protects DOT from poor safety performance.
- Produce dramatically better injury-rates than roadways:
  - [ASTM injury rates are 0.2 per million.](#)
  - [Self-regulated DOTs have a death-rate of 118 per million in the US.](#)

## Investigate Disruptive Technologies

Sustainable Energy Inc. (<https://www.sustainableenergyinc.com/>) is working on 4 disruptive technologies: Bosch Captive Columns, energy-dense batteries, 35% efficient thin-film PV, and high-strength concrete. Prior to investing much time or funding into design work, we will contact SEI for an update on their technologies. If appropriate, incorporate one or more of the technologies into our PRT designs.

## Software

During the past decade, ITNS has developed the software programs needed to operate an ITNS-based network of any complexity. The Control System is described in detail as Task #7 in [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume III](#) (pages 1230-1454). When a company is selected to implement the software, ITNS will provide additional information on the details of PRT network control on an as-needed basis.

[Transit Control Solutions, Inc.](#) (TCS) and Anteeos are two companies under consideration to supply the control software. The Anteeo control system is already in service controlling a PRT vehicle fleet in South Korea since 2014. Eugene Nishinaga, President and CEO of TCS, wrote a [letter of support](#) for the Milpitas project:

I have been a technologist in the field of public transportation now for almost 45 years. Of this time, I spent 25 years at SF BART. During my early years, I was involved in developing cutting edge transportation control technology for the BART system. Then later, I was tasked with managing BART's R&D Division that studied and reported on developing and promising transportation technologies for BART's consideration.

In this latter role, one of the subjects of investigation was the viability and potential of personal rapid transit and group rapid transit modes of passenger and goods transport. What I surprisingly discovered was that, if properly developed and deployed, these systems can achieve a cost-benefit that is approximately four times better than what can be achieved by more conventional means.

Although I retired from BART to pursue other opportunities before the results of my report were given serious consideration by the management at BART, the conclusions I reached stand, and I continue to believe that PRT and GRT systems can become a mode of transport that is superior to other more conventional forms of transport.

Regardless of the source of control software, security is paramount. In early 2020, as part of investing in its cybersecurity, [Tesla challenged hackers](#) to crack its cars with ~\$1 million on the line and a few Model 3 vehicles. These types of hacking competitions with white-hat hackers enable Tesla to test and improve its security systems, which are becoming increasingly important in cars as they are becoming more like computers on wheels. While CPUC Certification is adequate to begin operating the PRT system, LoopWorks may employ a variation on this concept to ensure the no black-hat hackers can adversely impact the system and its service.

## Peopleware

People with specific knowledge and skills will be needed to design, build, test, operate and maintain the proposed Milpitas PRT System. The following table shows the Areas of Responsibility that have been identified and the Tasks associated with each. While LoopWorks employees will fulfill some roles, most tasks will be performed by contractors.

| <b>Areas of Responsibility</b> | <b>Tasks</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Systems Engineering            | Responsibility for coordination of all aspects of the design.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Standards                      | Review all applicable standards and report specific system and component requirements to the project managers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Safety & Reliability           | Responsibility for all aspects of system safety, dependability, hazard analysis, fault-tree analysis, and failure modes and effects analysis. Documentation of all procedures used to insure safety in keeping with accepted standards for operation of automated transit systems. Based on existing information and methodology, develop |

| <b>Areas of Responsibility</b> | <b>Tasks</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                | and maintain a model for calculating system dependability.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Weight & Cost Control          | Develop computer models for weight control of the vehicle and cost control of the system. Maintain contact with all subsystems designers to keep models up to date. Report to project director and operations officer any deviations from target weight and cost. Develop a model for calculating operation and maintenance costs.                                                    |
| Vehicle Dynamics               | Based on an available program, perform dynamic analysis of vehicle moving through merge and diverge sections of the guideway with the worst combination of side loading (wind + centrifugal) + maximum unbalanced load to verify the requirements regarding maximum tire loads, tire stiffness, switch placement, flared switch rails, and ride comfort.                              |
| Finite-Element Analysis        | Perform FEA to finalize the specifications of the post-guideway bracket, the switch arm, and the chassis-cabin attachments.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Test Program                   | Review available descriptions of all necessary tests, define the test program, supervise all testing, and document the results.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Control System                 | The software for the system and vehicle control has been defined and the required types of hardware have been identified. Based on this information, complete the design of the operational software and hardware, supervise procurement and installation of the components in the test system, update the test plan, and supervise testing.                                          |
| Propulsion & Power System      | Specify LIM-VFD (linear induction motor - variable frequency drive) system with power-supply and distribution system, both on-board and at wayside. Identify suppliers and work with them to finalize the designs. Supervise installation in test system.                                                                                                                             |
| Guideway, Posts & Foundations  | Perform computer verification of the guideway & post design. Develop post-foundation design. Coordinate space requirements inside guideway with the chassis designer. Develop the final design and drawings. Specify and supervise design of computerized jigs, fixtures, and robotic-welding equipment for guideway fabrication. Help select the fabricator & supervise fabrication. |

| <b>Areas of Responsibility</b>                                                                       | <b>Tasks</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Vehicle Chassis<br> | The chassis includes wheel-axle-bearing assemblies, LIM & VFD, shock absorbers, switch assembly, parking and emergency brake, mounting of power-pick-up shoes and transverse, equipment compartment for control and a/c components, frame, wiring, and interface with cabin. Develop final design drawings, find necessary suppliers, and supervise fabrication.                                                  |
| Vehicle Cabin                                                                                        | Review the design requirements. Finalize bid documents and find cabin designer and fabricator. Work with fabricator to develop and build the final design. Supervise fabrication. Consider styling, structural design, thermal design, material selection, human factors, HVAC, aerodynamics, seat, automatic door operation and its fatigue testing, lighting, push-button controls, and interface with chassis. |
| Director                                                                                             | Overall direction, supervision, and education of systems engineering team.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Operations Officer                                                                                   | Responsible for daily coordination, facilitation and expediting.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Contracts & Purchasing                                                                               | Responsible for negotiating contracts and for purchasing of components and subsystems.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Support                                                                                              | Develop ways for, and provide support of, maintaining financial and accounting records and project controls. The human-resource functions also fall under this responsibility.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

Detailed Task descriptions can be found in Appendix A (pages 38 – 91) of the [ITNS Business Plan](#). System Safety and Reliability is a major part of any engineering program related to automated guideway transit in order to ensure that the system is safe. An agreed definition of safety will be developed (see Certification). The existing Automated People Mover Standards require that any APM program have on its staff at least one full-time person devoted to safety issues and who operates separately from the design teams. This person must be familiar with the analysis of safety problems in complex systems that include real-time, safety-critical software.

Additionally, safety issues include fire safety, natural disasters, station security, robustness and redundancy in the software, design loads and stresses, and all other issues involving safety. This person will work collaboratively with the [Rail Transit Safety Branch \(RTSB\)](#) of the [California Public Utilities Commission \(CPUC\)](#).

RTSB's engineers and analysts conduct continuous review and safety oversight of design and construction of capital projects, operations, emergency response, accident reporting, and incident investigation. The RTSB inspectors conduct on-site visits to inspect infrastructure and vehicles, monitor operations, evaluate maintenance practices, and other activities to identify noncompliance, safety concerns, and unsafe conditions. The CPUC ensures that all rail transit system extensions and new construction projects undergo a safety certification review and approval.

## **Policies and Procedures**

In addition to taking guidance from the book [Reinventing Organizations](#), more details can be found at the volunteer-created [Wiki that covers structures, processes and practices](#) used by self-managing groups and companies. Alternatively, an entire package of self-management tools is available from [Holacracy](#) and [Sociocracy](#).

LoopWorks can also avail itself of work done in this area by Silicon Valley Clean Energy. [Posted on their website](#) are policies regarding:

- Finance Policies
- General & Administrative Policies
- Human Resources Policies
- Information Technology Policies
- Additional Policies

## **Performance Reports - The Big Payoff**


In addition to providing extraordinary service to residents and visitors around the Milpitas Metro Area, this project will provide transit experts and decision-makers with real-world data. That data and the knowledge we gain from building this initial PRT system is the biggest payoff for investing in this project. Thus, LoopWorks will establish monitoring metrics for both performance monitoring and reporting purposes.

Valuable assets derived from this first PRT system:

- Verify construction and O&M costs.
- Demonstrate safety and reliability.
- Confirm ride comfort.
- Create a business model for potential widespread replication.
- Provide an operating system and documentation for training engineers, planners, technicians, and consulting firms asked to evaluate PRT technology.
- Enable an insurance company to establish a liability rate.
- Establish ITNS PRT as “proven technology” for comparison with other transit technologies in major investment studies.

## Data to Collect and Share

As the first ITNS-based PRT system built in the world, nearly every fact about the system will be useful to others considering PRT for their own applications. Therefore, keeping detailed records will be invaluable. In addition to standard [census data](#), accounting figures and design specifications that will be a part of building the system, details about operations and maintenance will be recorded. Involving an independent third party to verify and record such information will help ensure the integrity of the data.



Silicon Valley Clean Energy ([SVCE](#)) has selected experienced partners to support programs that help local communities reduce carbon pollution. In particular, all programs and pilot projects are audited by ADM Associates, Inc., a third party contracted for Evaluation, Measurement and Verification services with headquarters in Sacramento and a branch office in Fremont (One Fremont Place, 39650 Liberty Street Suite 425, Fremont, CA 94538, [business@admenergy.com](mailto:business@admenergy.com), 916-363-8383, <https://www.admenergy.com/>). ADM presents itself as a likely candidate company to conduct various performance assessments:

"Our team of engineers, social science researchers, and analysts has developed innovative energy research and program evaluation strategies, which have been presented at the International Energy Program Evaluation Conference (IEPEC) and other professional forums. Our multi-disciplinary staff facilitates efficient, comprehensive energy efficiency program evaluation."

According to an SVCE press release, ADM Associates evaluates programs to measure success and allow SVCE to continue to leverage its programs budget in an effective and meaningful way. Having a third-party consultant for Evaluation, Measurement and Verification ensures an unbiased review of program success that is not tied to the staff administering them. ADM has 40 years of experience in energy research and evaluation and has worked with public and private utilities and other entities to establish industry best practices. A UK-based company, [Ricardo](#), could provide similar services do to their "extensive experience of supporting novel transportation technologies around the world, including autonomous personal rapid transit systems."

## Reliability & Safety

Establish and maintain a daily failure log and computerized data files, and perform the following analyses:

- Compare actual reliability with system-engineering allocations and predictions.
- Determine component modifications for future systems.
- Determine causes and effects of failures and prescribe corrective actions.

As part of ITNS Task #3, Safety & Reliability, LoopWorks will develop and maintain a model for calculating system dependability.

As part of ITNS Task #7, Test Program, LoopWorks will supervise all testing and document the results.

### **Environmental**

Establish and maintain a daily weather log and computerized data files. Analyze the effects of water, heat and wind on the operation of the system. Extensive noise studies should be conducted along with subjective perceptions of the visual impact of PRT.

### **Maintenance, Maintainability, & Supportability**

Establish and maintain an equipment maintenance log and computerized data files.

Perform the following analyses:

- Compare actual maintainability with system-engineering allocations and predictions.
- Establish design criteria for all maintenance-support equipment.
- Refine estimates of operating and maintenance costs.
- Develop preventative maintenance procedures.

As part of ITNS Task #4, Weight & Cost Control, LoopWorks will develop a model for calculating operation and maintenance (O&M) costs.

### **Ride Comfort**

After CPUC Certification, but before opening the system to the public, LoopWorks will determine the acceptability of the ride comfort. Opinions of passengers of various ages and conditions will be obtained after they have ridden in a vehicle at various speeds up to the design maximum. In this way, the values of comfort acceleration, jerk, roll rate, and bank angle to which the guideway was designed will be evaluated and reviewed.

### **Vehicle Performance and Efficiency**

- **Speed-profile control.** Determine that vehicle acceleration, deceleration, and jerk rates when moving from rest



to constant speed, from constant speed to rest, and from one speed to another are as specified.

- **Control accuracy.** Measure the ability of the controller to maintain constant speed in the presence of simulated wind gusts produced by means of a drag brake, and with minimum, average, and maximum vehicle gross weight. Measure stopping accuracy at a predetermined point.
- **Drive performance.** By accelerating and braking the vehicle on a pre-programmed schedule, determine that the LIM (linear induction motor) thrust vs. speed, thrust vs. current, transport delay, and power factor are as expected.
- **Acceleration efficiency.** Determine the energy efficiency in accelerating from rest to cruising speed in terms of the ratio of the electrical energy input to the energy required to overcome inertia, air drag, and road resistance. Perform these tests with minimum, average, and maximum vehicle gross weight.
- **Cruise efficiency.** Determine the motor and drive efficiencies at various constant speeds up to 16 meters/second (36 mph). The power output is the force required to overcome air drag and road resistance multiplied by vehicle speed. The power input is the electrical power input to the vehicle from the wayside source.
- **Overall efficiency.** Determine the electrical power input to one vehicle required to start a vehicle from rest, circle the Mini-Loop guideway once, and stop again in calm air. Compare with the value calculated using data from the previous tests.

### 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Data

While the above specified data will be gathered and documented by LoopWorks, other interested parties are likely to gather their own data for studies they may conduct. For example, students and teachers in the [Mineta Transportation Institute](#) at San Jose State University (including Gary Richards, aka Mr. Roadshow, who is a professor there) may find this project fruitful in following their mission to increase mobility for all by improving "safety, efficiency, accessibility, and convenience". One aspect that may prove valuable to explore is vandalism. Since PRT is a fun and humanizing technology that people embrace, less vandalism is expected. If so, that fact could be valuable to transit agencies.

LoopWorks will assist such others while giving priority to educational and research institutes. Although LoopWorks requests that data and findings secured by a 3<sup>rd</sup> parties be publicly shared, that decision is up to them.

Data on property values, collected by the Santa Clara County Assessor, will be publicly available. This data will indicate what happens to property values and tax revenue when a PRT infrastructure is introduced – information important to any city investigating their own PRT system.

Because LoopWorks is implementing principles from [Reinventing Organizations](#), posting information regarding the company's progress on [Enlivening Edge](#) may spark the interest of researchers more interested in the business structure than the PRT technology.

[Doughnut Economics Action Lab \(DEAL\)](#) is part of the emerging global movement of new economic thinking and doing with an aim of helping create 21st century economies that are regenerative and distributive by design. DEAL works with pioneering changemakers to co-create tools and methodologies that turn the ideas of Doughnut Economics into action, start making new economic possibilities visible, and inspire others to act. DEAL will be informed of the Milpitas PRT project so members may be informed and participate.

Another 3<sup>rd</sup> party research project could investigate whether moving people across barriers with PRT technology is cost-effective. Given the extensive need for barrier crossings just in Santa Clara County, the subject warrants investigation. Likewise, a 3<sup>rd</sup> party could investigate whether high-speed/high-capacity PRT technology is cost-effective.

Because this demonstration project could ignite a \$1T industry that employs many Californians, LoopWorks will advise these agencies of the project and its potential: California Workforce Development Board, the Employment Training Panel, the Employment Development Department, and the Division of Apprenticeship Standards.

Some funding groups have been searching for a simple, inexpensive, and intellectually honest way to monitor the social performance of companies. Such a Foundation Owner could provide additional data on the results of the project and enterprise. For example, [Heron Foundation](#) is currently working with a variety of data partners to do so, including [CSRHub](#), [B Lab](#), [HIP Investor](#) and [Oekom](#). Quoting from their [website](#):


While we at Heron found it helpful to begin our efforts toward designing a net contribution lens with a “blue sky” approach, we are not the only ones attempting to look at the net effect of an enterprise. We applaud our colleagues in the space for helping push our thinking forward, including Bridges’ [Impact Measurement & Management Project](#), the [Total Impact Measurement and Management \(TIMM\)](#) framework developed by PWC, [B Lab](#), and the work of The GIIN, home to the ongoing [Impact Measurement and Management Leadership Initiative](#).

# Organization and Management

The LoopWorks Advisory Board, whose members come from various roles in high-tech companies, includes an entrepreneur, 2 financial experts, the top PRT hardware expert in the world, and members with deep connections into the Milpitas community. This business plan will guide development of the Milpitas PRT project with operations expected to commence in 5 to 7 years (2025 - 2027).

The business model employed by LoopWorks anticipates a limited-growth company that provides long-term service. Thus, some functions needed in a traditional business model that plans for extensive growth are unnecessary. For example, page 16 of the [ITNS Business Plan](#) presents the following paragraph and organization chart to describe their structure:


The proposed organizational structure is as shown in following chart. Over the first six months, we expect the organization to grow to about 20 engineers plus about six to ten members of the support staff. In a year, we expect the staff to grow to a total of about 50 people.



ITNS planned for a PRT-manufacturing business as the payoff for early-stage investors looking for a profit. LoopWorks offers a different business plan to investors that calls for building one system, and then maintaining it into the long-term future. By contracting out most of the work to build the Milpitas system, LoopWorks can focus on managing the project and preparing to operate and maintain it. Thus, the VPs of *Engineering* and *Manufacturing* – along with their entire staff – are not needed. By making all documentation created by LoopWorks easily available on-line, planners and engineers working on other systems can self-train – which eliminates the need for these two Operations & Training functions: *Training of Planners*, *Training of Engineers*.

LoopWorks will need knowledgeable and experienced project managers to oversee the engineering and manufacturing done by contractors. Specification Development and Supervision are the two primary functions needed to insure safety, ride comfort, reliability, service level and cost containment.

The *Pricing* function in *Finance* may also be unnecessary because LoopWorks will not be selling PRT systems. Likewise, the *System Simulation* and *Urban Design* functions under *Marketing* are unnecessary. However, all other Marketing functions will be necessary to develop the Alternative Revenue Streams required to keep the system operating. The remaining roles and functions in the ITNS organization chart shown above will be needed by LoopWorks. After construction, an O&M staff of 5 (*System Operations*) will provide 24/7/365 coverage to ensure uninterrupted service for the community.



Any particular role or function noted above may require only part-time effort, or the full-time efforts of many. Regardless, to accomplish the necessary functions, LoopWorks will implement structures, practices and processes using guidelines listed in [Reinventing Organizations](#). Author Frederic Laloux's research in the field of emerging organizational models has been described as groundbreaking, brilliant, spectacular, impressive, and world-changing by some of the most respected scholars in the field of human development. The book describes the structures, practices and processes of highly successful businesses comprised of mission-driven teams of 6 to 12 people. Using the guidelines of Reinventing Organizations, the LoopWorks organizational chart may differ substantially from the traditional type pictured above – while still fulfilling the functions. An engaging introduction to exploring

this new way of (self-) managing is the workbook entitled [Reinventing](#)

[Organizations: An Illustrated Invitation to Join the Conversation on Next-Stage Organizations.](#)

## **Open-Source vs. Proprietary**

Use of non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) is the rule with companies pursuing new technologies. Most for-profit companies that use NDAs do so out of a mindset of fear and scarcity – fear that some other company will beat them to market or steal their very valuable intellectual property, and a zero-sum/Malthusian/scarcity worldview that there is not enough to go around.

LoopWorks holds a different worldview that follows the model of nature where cooperation and synergy are the primary operating principles, not competition. Since transparency is a key LoopWorks value, information about the company's operations will be publicly available. By making documentation freely available, the company's vision is accomplished easier and quicker: *The LoopWorks PRT project inspires rapid adoption of advanced transit that dramatically reduces transportation sector emissions.* Thus, LoopWorks and the entities with which it works will avoid NDAs where possible.

The choice of open-source hardware provides another advantage – rapid network growth. In virtually all existing PRT projects, vehicles and guideways are owned or leased by a single operator. This organizational structure is not consistent with the practice of the two successful transportation modes, railroads and automobiles. Each of these is a decentralized system of independent operators; in case of automobiles, vehicle operators are also independent from road operators.

Easy access by new individuals or businesses into network operation is essential for its rapid growth. Therefore, a successful PRT system should allow new independent guideway operators to join the existing network, and also allow the addition of new public or private stations. They could also allow existence of independent vehicle operators, with access to the entire network (except private stations). Allowing vehicle design by independent firms is also a possibility to consider.

## **Stakeholder Perspective**

As a [Mutual Benefit Corporation](#), LoopWorks has a responsibility not only to investors, but also to management, employees, customers, suppliers, the local community, and the environment. Maintaining this "stakeholder perspective" might come with higher costs in the short term, but it will deliver better returns in the long run for all parties. The role of LoopWorks is to make the right trade-offs so that all stakeholders can thrive. The following stakeholders will benefit most directly from the PRT system:

- nearby residents and their visitors who could use PRT
- nearby businesses whose customers and employees could use PRT
- all Milpitas residents and businesses who could benefit from a PRT "tourist attraction"
- various r-o-w property owners
- City of Milpitas (prestige and economic development opportunities)
- other cities and agencies with an interest in PRT development (Mt. View, Sunnyvale, San José)
- foundations interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use and social equity
- government agencies interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use
- Metro Area developers that want to reduce parking ratio requirements
- other developers with an interest in PRT service such as the proposed [mega-project](#) just outside Milpitas (north of Montague along Coyote Creek).

The following table provides some insight into how stakeholders can participate with LoopWorks in forwarding the Milpitas PRT project.

| <b>Function/Purpose</b> | <b>Role</b>                                                                                                   | <b>Potential LoopWorks Allies</b>                                                                      |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Program Management      | Oversees project to ensure scope, schedule, and budget goals are met                                          | Public agency staffs, planning and engineering consultants, private transport staff                    |
| Funding Partners        | Provide funding for project planning, implementation, and ongoing O&M                                         | Foundations, public agencies grants, private funding, corporate sponsors, local businesses, etc.       |
| Project Planning        | Conduct financial, technological, and service planning activities to ensure successful project implementation | Public agency staffs, planning and engineering consultants, community representatives                  |
| Deployment              | Provide site assessment and vehicle set-up services                                                           | Public agency staffs, planning and engineering consultants, r-o-w owners, developers, funding partners |

| <b>Function/Purpose</b>  | <b>Role</b>                                                | <b>Potential LoopWorks Allies</b>                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Operations & Maintenance | Provide staffing for day-to-day operations and maintenance | Public agency staff inc. police, private transport staff, private company security, nearby businesses, PRT riders |
| Miscellaneous            | Have vested interest in some aspect of the project         | All parties in Stakeholder List above, groups desiring to build other PRT systems                                 |

High levels of transparency are essential to the accountability and functioning of organizations with highly participatory decision-making processes like LoopWorks. Thus, financial, strategic and operational information will be readily available to stakeholders.

## Democratic Governance

Integral to the LoopWorks business model is community self-determination. LoopWorks will be owned and controlled by stakeholders through their elected representatives while providing transparency, accountability and social responsibility. Democratic decision-making processes ensure bottom-up, community-led solutions that emphasize community benefit over private gain. LoopWorks believes that people and places thrive when democratic communities determine their own social, cultural, and economic needs and solutions.



Bylaws will be developed that provide for democratic involvement of stakeholders and equitable operation of the business. As specified in the Bylaws, people and organizations residing in Milpitas that donate \$100 or more to LoopWorks become members with voting privileges; people and organizations residing outside Milpitas that donate \$1000 or more can become voting members upon approval of the Board. A Milpitas resident may also acquire voting membership by donating 5 hours of work that help forward the project. (Qualifying residents will report when they worked, what they worked on, and their results – all of which will be included in the data available to the public.)

Once public operations commence, the Board will employ an O&M staff. Whether direct employees or contracted personnel, at least two O&M employees must then become members of the Board.

Due to obstacles presented by state and federal securities laws regarding issuance of stock, no solicitation or acceptance of donations or grants shall imply that any or all of the funds donated will be returned. However, a record will be kept of donations, and the Board will have the authority to benefit donors in appropriate ways that conform with the Bylaws.

A key process in any self-directed organization is the "advice process": in principle, any person in the organization can make any decision. But before doing so, that person *must* seek advice from all affected parties and people with expertise on the matter. Big decisions that affect the organization must, of course, seek the Board's advice.

## Outline of Incorporation

After Start-up Costs and Activities are handled, efforts will focus on preliminary engineering. Remaining questions will be answered, a more detailed design will be developed, and project costs calculated. If the results of this preliminary-engineering process are satisfactory, then funding (\$6M) will be secured to complete engineering and to manage implementation of the project. Final funding (\$60M) will then be secured to construct, test and operate the system for the first year. Alternative revenue streams will be secured to continue paying for on-going Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs.

Funding for capital costs to design, construct and test is expected from foundations interested in reducing fossil fuel emissions, increasing transit ridership, or promoting social equity. To promote all 3 objectives, free transit service will be provided to Milpitas residents and visitors – thus benefiting many of the stakeholders.

## Steps Before Incorporation

1. Choose a business name (which includes an abbreviation that indicates incorporation, such as "Incorporated" or "Inc."), and check for availability of that name with the California [Secretary of State](#). (Mail a completed [Name Availability Inquiry Letter](#) (PDF) to the California Secretary of State's office in Sacramento.)
2. Identify initial members and/or Board of Directors.
3. Decide whether and how to issue membership shares.
4. Prepare Bylaws for operating the business. (See Appendix for Bylaws.)
5. Develop a membership agreement and receipt procedure for individuals and legal entities accepted as members.
6. Determine which (if any) licenses, permits, and insurance must be procured – and at what stage in the process.

7. Prepare and file the Articles of Incorporation with the Secretary of State. The filing fee is \$30.
8. File a Statement of Information with the Secretary of State within 90 days of filing the initial Articles of Incorporation. The filing fee is \$20.

## **Steps After Incorporation**

1. Hold the first Board of Directors meeting – adopt bylaws, appoint officers, and discuss other business plans.
2. Obtain employment identification number (EIN) with IRS and employer account number with the state.
3. Open a bank account for LoopWorks.
4. Obtain licenses, permits, and insurance needed to operate.
5. Hire or retain an accountant or bookkeeper to manage finances.
6. Maintain up-to-date membership records (names & addresses).
7. Implement the structures, practices and processes using guidelines listed in [Reinventing Organizations](#).


## Marketing and Sales

LoopWorks has chosen to be a localized entity that owns, operates and maintains a PRT system within Milpitas. As such, the normal functions of marketing and sales that would be appropriate to a manufacturing or product-driven company are mostly unnecessary. Although the LoopWorks PRT system may grow to cover most of Milpitas, any PRT built outside the City limits will be done by some other company.

ITNS has estimated in [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I](#) (page 322) that the total worldwide market value is at least \$1.5T:

To get a feeling for the magnitudes, suppose that at full deployment ITNS would attract only as many trips as conventional transit carries now [1%]. It is reasonable to assume, on the basis of many studies, that the average application will require about 40 vehicles per mile of guideway. Thus to carry as many passenger-miles as conventional transit carries now  $(418,000/40) = 10,450$  miles of guideway would be required. At an estimated overall system price of \$15 million/mi, this is a market of \$156 billion. As shown in Appendix D, the market for PRT is likely at least ten times as large, or in the United States alone at least \$1.5 trillion. The world market can be expected to be several times as large.

Opportunities exist for other PRT-related companies to create or extend their own business models. Manufacturing or assembly of any PRT components is an obvious example. Those subcontractors who supply parts for the Milpitas system will be well-situated to do so for other systems. Construction companies and their subcontractors already exist, so that part of the PRT production system is in place. At this time, the most-needed service/product is PRT-knowledgeable consulting firms that guide municipalities and transportation agencies to develop successfully operating PRT systems.



As the urgency of our Climate Crisis heats up, foundation and government agency money may become available to finance system construction for entities looking to create their own version of the Milpitas PRT system.

## For-Profit Opportunities

LoopWorks will act much like a government agency by seeking grant funding and operating in the public interest rather than investor interest. However, another for-profit business model could secure funding, build and operate a PRT system in a more traditional way. That may prove likely because investor-financed systems appear to be viable given the low cost and high value of PRT systems – especially as they grow to cover more area.

For example, ITNS shows what is possible in the Economics section (pages 35 – 37) of the [ITNS Business Plan](#). By charging a mere \$1.10 fare, the company can break even on a PRT system with 30 miles of guideway and 60 stations serving 9 square miles. For comparison, Milpitas covers 14 sq. miles. Imagine living in Milpitas and only paying a \$1 fare to get from a station near you to a station near anywhere you want to go in town.

Any for-profit company looking to enter the PRT field is advised to read sections 13 - 19 of the Plan for Commercialization ([Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume I](#), pages 322 - 325):

- The Potential Market for ITNS
- The Gross Profit from Building and Operating PRT Systems
- The Size of the Market
- Strategy for Market Entry
- The Business
- How the Enterprise will make Money

In [How to make a successful Automated Transit Network](#), author Roman Zakharenko presents an economist's perspective on the factors for success of PRT technology. LoopWorks, by choosing the ITNS design and mutual-benefit corporate structure, has incorporated those factors into the Milpitas PRT project plans.

In addition to selling, designing and building PRT systems, other businesses may provide maintenance services or technical training. There may also be opportunities arising from the amount of valuable land freed up that would otherwise serve cars (roads and parking lots).

After the Milpitas PRT system is operational, ridership numbers will be available to any organization considering construction of their own system. Using actual ridership data, developers can determine if the cost of their proposed PRT system is justified. They may also investigate the ITNS internal paper “Economics of ITNS Networks” and its Excel companion which provide a methodology for estimating the return on investment of a PRT system as it expands over a metropolitan area.

The early markets for PRT will primarily transport people. Target markets are expected to be found in highly congested business districts, airports, theme parks, office parks, hospital complexes, shopping centers, and retirement communities. For-profit companies may find that endowment funds which provide Foundation Grants are eager to invest in PRT systems. For example, five years after [17 foundations pledged to stop investing in fossil-fuel companies](#) and increase their stake in climate-friendlier investments like renewable fuels, almost 200 foundations and family offices have joined the movement.

## LoopWorks Project

LoopWorks will facilitate marketing and sales to enroll the various stakeholders:

- nearby residents who could use the PRT system
- nearby businesses whose customers and employees could use the PRT system
- all Milpitas residents and businesses who could benefit from a PRT "tourist attraction"
- various right-of-way (r-o-w) property owners
- City of Milpitas (prestige and economic development opportunities)
- other cities interested in PRT development (Mt. View, Sunnyvale, San José)
- foundations interested in reducing greenhouse gas emissions or increasing transit use and social equity
- government agencies interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use
- Metro Area developers that want to reduce parking ratio requirements
- other developers with an interest in PRT service such as the proposed [mega-project](#) just outside Milpitas (north of Montague along Coyote Creek).

Since this project could not proceed without a statement of support from the City of Milpitas, LoopWorks has endeavored to enroll the City Council. A "no risk" Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) was delivered to the City Council in 2019. The goal of the MOU is to focus the public sector on removing barriers while assuming little or no financial risk itself.

In addition to the City of Milpitas, various other right-of-way property owners must be enrolled:

1. Santa Clara County Roads and Airports Department
2. Santa Clara Valley Water District
3. Valley Transportation Authority
4. Great Mall owner Simon Property Group
5. Courtyard by Marriott Milpitas Silicon Valley
6. TownePlace Suites by Marriott Milpitas Silicon Valley

7. Flextronics
8. Parc Metro HOA

Right-of-way agreements will permit construction of poles, guideways and stations. Additionally, egress agreements may be necessary for the purpose of access in the event of a maintenance emergency occurring on or above the property. In the case of Flextronics (and likely others), agreements must be reached with the real property owners rather than the lease holders currently occupying the property.

The transportation model LoopWorks seeks to replicate is the spread of the electric trolley that began in 1888. It was created by cities granting franchise agreements whereby private-sector firms built trolley systems for speculative real estate gains. Although an [initial MOU](#) has been proposed to the City of Milpitas, LoopWorks expects changes in line with a Cities 21 model offered in "[Statement of Willingness to Enter into PRT4SRP Franchise Agreement](#)".

## Franchise Agreements

PRT technology and systems have been demonstrated to work. There is clearly money available for transit projects (e.g. the [\\$6B BART Burrow](#)). The fact that no PRT system has been built the U.S. in the past 40 years suggests a political problem. Municipalities hesitate even when offered a no-cost system. Fear of political consequences may be the basis for refusals by both Palo Alto and Milpitas to accept such "no risk" agreements.

### Background Information

Franchise agreements, detailed contracts between the public sector and a third party, grant such third parties the right to provide a particular service. For example, the City of Milpitas maintains a franchise with Comcast to provide cable television to residents. The City of Las Vegas granted a franchise to build and operate a large monorail transit system.

In the late 1880's, cities granted franchise agreements to real-estate speculators who built electric trolley systems. "The first electronic trolley line opened in Richmond in 1888, was adopted by two dozen other major cities within a year, and by the early 1890's was the dominant mode of intra-urban transit. The rapidity of the diffusion of this innovation was enhanced by the immediate recognition of its ability to mitigate the urban transportation problems of the day." (Peter Muller)

### Specifics

Only right-of-way agreements to permit construction, and egress agreements for emergency access, will be needed from most property owners. Each publicly-owned property may also require a franchise agreement.

In recognition of the many [benefits to the City of Milpitas](#), the City will charge LoopWorks an annual franchise fee of one dollar (\$1). Similar [no-cost franchise agreements](#) seem likely for 1) Santa Clara County Roads and Airports Department (Montague expressway) and 2) Valley Transportation Authority (BART Transit Center). Although benefits for the Santa Clara Valley Water District (Penitencia Creek) are not so apparent or generous, it does receive property-tax funding. So, when the PRT system causes increased property values, property tax revenues will go up and SCCVWD will benefit.

## **Customer relationships**

Details of the limited interaction between customers and LoopWorks personnel are described in Human Interface (Ergonomics). Briefly, only in unusual circumstances will the customer/rider initiate voice communications with operating personnel.

By eliminating intermediate stops, PRT dramatically increases energy efficiency and decreases trip time. That reduction in trip time will provide value directly to the rider, thereby leading to a mutually-supportive relationship. LoopWorks anticipates that this relationship – along with CCTV surveillance – will reduce vandalism and other security issues. Furthermore, PRT technology virtually eliminates noise and air pollution further enhancing its contribution to the relationship.

By involving Stakeholders early and often, LoopWorks plans to build the trust needed to proceed rapidly to an operating system.

## **Customer segments**

Traditional advice regarding customer segments is "Be specific when you name your target market. Your business won't be for everybody, so it's important to have a clear sense of who your business will serve." PRT is not a traditional technology. By choosing to serve the most vulnerable members of our society – whether special needs, aged or children – LoopWorks is able to provide outstanding service to all segments of our population.

Details of how people use the PRT system is outlined in Human Interface (Ergonomics). Those details make clear that most anyone, regardless of abilities, will be able to use the ITNS-designed vehicles and user interface to travel between any of the PRT system stations.

The issue of how seniors in their seventies, eighties, and nineties travel to their many activities will continue to grow. Trends predict longer life expectancy, a core desire for active lifestyles, and a growing senior population. All 3 trends will increase demand for PRT service among seniors who no longer drive.



In the case of young children, they can be dispatched by a parent from one station to a destination station. If needed, arrangements could be made to have another adult greet the child at the destination. Either way, PRT could save the time now used to chauffeur elementary school students each day. As they become older, PRT will support their growing independence within their community.

In a time of historic financial inequality, providing “safety net” support for our most vulnerable residents is required to help balance our society so it is sustainable. PRT service does that. Electric cars, not so much. According to a [New York Times article](#), government incentives to buy electric vehicles mostly help the affluent, not average families.

## Customer Communication Channels

The customer base that LoopWorks expects to attract will become aware of the PRT system as it is built in their neighborhood. Additional outreach will be important to allay concerns and inform other Milpitas residents of project progress and objectives. LoopWorks plans to 1) contact and present to various community groups, and 2) use these communications channels:

- email list of Milpitas residents who are active in the community
- email and phone list of people who have supported this PRT project
- local Nextdoor groups (one of which reaches over 10,000 homes)
- Facebook ([MILPITAS RANTS AND RAVES](#))
- email list of PRT Petition signers
- Milpitas Beat (local on-line newspaper)
- Milpitas Post (although without local reporters, PRT will catch their attention)
- On-line petition (if appropriate)

Offers to presentation PRT will be extended to various community groups such as:

- City of Milpitas Commissions (12)
- Metro Area HOAs and apartment complexes
- County of Santa Clara Commissions (Road and Airports Commission)
- Valley Transportation Authority

- Milpitas City Council
- local community organizations (Chamber of Commerce, India Community Center, Rotary)
- businesses in the PRT service area
- Pines community meeting
- various non-profit organizations (2nd Harvest, HOPE)
- various religious and fraternal organizations (Kiwanis, Rotary, Elks)
- various activist groups ([Silicon Valley Bicycle Coalition](#), [Citizens Climate Lobby](#), [350 Silicon Valley](#))

## Alternative Revenue Streams to Pay for O&M

In order to provide free rides to users, alternative revenue streams will be secured to fund Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs of the PRT system proposed for the Metro Area. O&M are estimated to be 1% to 3% of capital costs, or \$600,000 to \$1.8M per year.

LoopWorks' 3-tier approach includes an endowment fund (Plan A), add-on services (Plan B) and farebox recovery (Fallback Plan). While planning to pursue Plan A first, LoopWorks expects to also develop Plan B add-on services. Only if those two income streams prove inadequate to cover all O&M costs will user fares be considered.

**Plan A:** After foundations provide the \$60M required to build the system, they may also be willing to fund all or part of a \$12M endowment that pays for O&M. A \$12M endowment earning a 5% annual return would cover \$600,000 per year of O&M costs. Endowments for on-going expenses are common and will be the first choice pursued by LoopWorks simply because it represents the easiest way to cover O&M. At commencement of public operations, any unused capital funds will be put into an O&M endowment fund.

**Plan B:** In parallel with Plan A, LoopWorks will investigate and evaluate each of the following potential revenue streams for likely return on investment (ROI). At least 3 factors will be considered: potential amount of annual funding generated, monetary cost to generate that revenue, and likelihood of securing the revenue stream. That research will determine which of these multiple add-on revenue streams to pursue. The 30 currently identified possibilities are clustered under these headings:

- Advertising
- Donations
- Community Support
- Data Sales
- Add-On Services

To enhance attractiveness of Advertising, Donations, and Community Support, LoopWorks may initially choose to circulate empty cabs when not necessary to give observers an experience of what a busy guideway would be like.

1. Advertising (Page 36 of the 2019 [ITNS Business Plan](#) estimates advertising revenue at \$0.40 per vehicle-trip.)
  - vehicle advertising wraps
  - guideway advertising wraps
  - other guideway-mounted signs, both static and dynamic
  - ad messaging within the cabins via screen and speaker
  - station sponsorship (e.g. Levi's Stadium)
2. Donations
  - In lieu of free fares, LoopWorks may provide donation boxes at stations that acknowledge/reward deposits with receipts, flashing lights, message displays, and engaging sounds.
  - On-line donations are encouraged from people around the world who are interested in GHG reductions and promoting alternative transit options.
3. Community Support
  - Contributions will be encouraged from local businesses, organizations, and government agencies that benefit from the PRT system.
  - Benefit sharing will be encouraged from property owners whose property values or customer count goes up as a result of extra transit infrastructure.
  - Benefit sharing will be sought from property-taxing agencies when [property values and tax receipts go up 30% or more](#) as a result of new transit infrastructure.
  - Benefit sharing will be sought from VTA which would be relieved of the 89% subsidy for bus service currently provided in the area to be served by PRT.
  - Benefit sharing will be sought from VTA for additional parking structure/lot usage by people looking for quick/easy parking – and a quick PRT connection to their destination.
  - Benefit sharing will be sought from VTA and BART which would receive a substantial increase in ridership. (See Ridership and PRT Synergy.)
4. Data Sales (unlikely due to LoopWorks' intention to freely share information)
  - Sell data that is collected about ridership, construction costs, and O&M costs.

- Franchising or licensing of LoopWorks intellectual property (detailed plans and specifications).

#### 5. Add-On Services

- Offer freight service at \$1 per mile. If moving a several hundred-pound payload quickly within this small system is cost effective, we can work out the logistics of loading and unloading cabs for the customer.
- Sell electricity from solar panels mounted on station roofs and attached to guideways and guideway posts.
- Lease station area space to small-footprint businesses or devices (e.g. coffee kiosks and ATMs).
- Lease station area space to SVCE for siting battery storage for backup power and micro-grids.
- Invest OPM (other people's money) in wayside batteries that can be charged at night and used during the day so 1) PRT need not add to the peak utility load, and 2) revenue can be generated from the different pricing.
- Users may suppress in-cabin advertising messages for a small fee (e.g. 10 cents to suppress audio, 25 cents to suppress both video and audio).
- Sell Wi-Fi service within cabins to users.
- Merchandising of PRT products either through direct sales or 3rd-party agreements.
- Solicit a fraction of the revenue from systems operated by franchisees or concessions using the LoopWorks/ITNS design.
- Lease utility conduits within guideways (broadband fiber-optic cable, electricity lines, telephone lines, traffic signal coordination). (The ISP [Sonic](#) may be interested in partnering as "a community of internet revolutionaries re-thinking, re-wiring, and re-imagining the future of the internet." The [County of Santa Clara](#) may also.)
- Partner with a broadband company like Sail Internet to install antennas on PRT infrastructure.
- Explore partnership arrangements for offering broadband service. ([Publicly owned broadband networks](#) in the US have been widely credited with attracting business investment, creating and retaining jobs, lowering costs for consumers, improving access for marginalized groups, improving quality of life, and supporting advances in health and education.)
- Look into the profit potential of running a [low-power FM station](#) under the new FCC rules. (One centrally-located station could cover Milpitas.)



- Charge fees for moving freight, recyclable materials, and waste. (The number of off-peak light-freight trips is estimated at 50% of daily passenger trips on page 36 of the [ITNS Business Plan](#).)
- Expand the PRT network beyond the original dual-loop system – and start charging fares for rides that start or end outside it.

To get an idea of what the market would bear in terms of Advertising charges, LoopWorks checked [VTA advertising](#) price sheets. Based on VTA charges, LoopWorks estimates that these prices are fair:

- station advertising: \$8000/month
- guideway wrap over major streets (30"h x 144"w): \$12,000/month
- vehicle wrap (21"h x 72"w): \$6000/month

With 12 stations, 24 vehicles and several major street crossings, monthly advertising revenue from a PRT system could far exceed the estimated annual O&M costs of \$600,000 to \$1.8M.



Clear Channel Outdoor handles advertising at VTA shelters where ad space is sold on 4 week periods at about \$450 per unit per 4 weeks with a minimum of 20 units. Pricing varies slightly depending on how specific, total quantity, length of commitment...etc.

An example of Donations income, the Ubuntu/Communitarian spirit of users who see PRT as part of the greater good may prompt them to contribute donations. The Mini-Loop will be a sight-seeing attraction for at least 5 years – during which time donations from users could be encouraged (e.g. with explanatory signs and contribution boxes that make sounds and flash lights when fed).

As an example of a Community Support revenue stream that might be shared, consider tax revenue to the City of Milpitas. Asking for an annual share of the increased tax revenue flowing to the city would be based upon an estimated increase in property tax revenue of \$1M annually. So, a presentation to the City may go like this:

Like a golden goose, PRT will regularly generate a boost to the City's property tax revenue. Here are the numbers. The proposed Milpitas PRT System will provide easy transit access (as defined as within a 1/3-mile radius) for 1.4 sq. miles of the City's total of 14 sq. miles, or 10%. Using a 2020/2021 Milpitas property tax revenue number of \$31M for all of Milpitas properties, 10% is \$3M (see page 50 of the [10/12/2021 City of Milpitas Council Agenda](#)). The estimated increase in property values due to convenient and reliable transit with good regional connections is 30% - 40% according to the Federal Transit Administration

(<https://www.transit.dot.gov/valuecapture>). Applying a 33% increase to the current \$3M property tax revenue yields an annual golden egg of \$1M. In order to keep that golden goose healthy, the City may want to contribute a portion of that extra property tax revenue to help operate and maintain the system.

Another example of a Community Support revenue stream is revenue sharing by the Great Mall. As a sight-seeing attraction, the Mini-Loop would add value to nearby businesses, especially the Great Mall where more entertainment attractions are being added rather than retail stores and eateries (e.g. Legoland Discovery Center). LoopWorks PRT complements those attractions with a Disney-like ride that creates synergy with those businesses.

# Funding Request

This business plan will be the primary document used to represent both LoopWorks and the PRT project when asking for funding. We have followed the plan below to reach out to foundations for funding. But they have not reached back (yet).

**During that process, however, an alternative funding source was discovered: climate-focused investment groups. These will be our next focus. We still expect funding without financial strings, meaning no need to repay the funding. Our message will be somewhat different: Give this PRT project funding, and account for it as R&D accounting to kick-start a \$1T industry.**

As a mutual benefit corporation with ties to all stakeholders, LoopWorks improves its prospects for securing foundation grants, even those that normally fund only non-profits. Also, the flexibility afforded a mutual benefit corporation enables LoopWorks to quickly adjust to economic and other changes in its environment – and makes it easier to show foundations how LoopWorks can help them achieve their goals.

As shown in Financial Projections, LoopWorks will seek 3 rounds of funding in the sums of \$0.6M for initial business development, \$6M for design work and project management, and \$60M for construction, testing and 1 year of O&M. At the end of that first year of operations, most data will be available for others to fairly estimate costs of proposed PRT system using the ITNS design. That data – and the knowledge we gain from building this first PRT system – is the biggest payoff for investing in this Milpitas PRT System. For specifics, see Performance Reports – The Big Payoff.

While LoopWorks anticipates support from foundations, many other methods of financing are available – any combination of which may provide the required capital. If necessary, a service such as [Crunchbase](#) may be employed to identify funders. Following are the types of funding that could apply to PRT systems - listed in order of preference.

## Foundation Grant Money

Although grant money from foundations need not be paid back, there will certainly be pre- and post-grant requirements. The funding entity will expect - and receive - a say in how the project is run, regular reports on how funds are spent, and what results have been accomplished. In conformance with LoopWorks' goal of transparency, reports and results will also be made publicly available.

Because grant money eliminates the need to pay for capital costs and servicing debt, all revenue can be applied to maintaining a high level of service. As a result, LoopWorks will be much better positioned financially to cover Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs without charging fares. Free fares for all - the transportation equity aspect of this system - will further endear LoopWorks to foundations and others poised to contribute to improving the lives of people.

**Note:** in the following descriptions of various organizations, their publicly-stated values and goals that align with what LoopWorks is planning and doing are *emphasized with italics*.

## Foundation Grants

LoopWorks expects to secure grant funding for start-up, engineering and construction from foundations interested in reducing carbon fuel emissions, increasing transit ridership, or promoting social equity.

Foundation funding is preferred because 1) foundations have more flexibility than government agencies in selecting which projects and programs to fund, and 2) turnaround time between request and grant funding disbursement is likely quicker than all other options.

Although excellent foundations with an interest in addressing our Climate Crisis are located across the country, LoopWorks will offer foundations and organizations within the Bay Area the opportunity to invest early before offering the opportunity outside our watershed. Here is a short list of Bay Area candidates to approach:

- [Silicon Valley Clean Energy](#) (SVCE): although not a foundation, SVCE funds energy-efficiency programs – and they understand our environment: "*We were formed to be innovative, because the traditional institutions and ways of thinking were insufficient to address the climate crisis we are facing.*" Their [Decarbonization Strategy and Programs Roadmap](#) was developed and approved to ... cut emissions from power supply and transportation, all while enhancing energy efficiency and the grid's ability to use more renewable energy. The [Innovation Onramp](#) program offers two stages of grant funding, of which LoopWorks would choose "Stage 1: \$10,000 –



\$75,000 for proofs of concept". In recognition of their pioneering contributions to clean energy and its efficient use, right of first refusal will be extended to SVCE.

- [The California Wellness Foundation](#) partners with community organizations to ... put in place more *equitable processes and systems* that improve individual and community wellness.
- [Silicon Valley Community Foundation](#) advances innovative philanthropic solutions to challenging problems, engaging donors to *make our region and world a better place* for all. 
- [The Schmidt Family Foundation](#) (TSFF) works to advance the *wiser use of energy* and natural resources and to support efforts worldwide that empower communities to build resilient systems for food, water, and human resources. ("Please note, all of the Foundation's grantmaking is by invitation only.")
- [Energy Foundation](#) accelerates the *transition to a clean energy economy* by supporting policy solutions that create robust, competitive markets and the benefits they bring.
- [Tides Foundation](#) accelerates the pace of social change, working with innovative partners to *solve society's toughest problems*.
- [Chan Zuckerberg Initiative](#) (CZI), through [grantmaking](#) and a venture portfolio, invests in *bold ideas* that have the potential to accelerate social change. Since 2015, CZI has awarded approximately \$1.6 billion in grants and made more than \$100 million in venture investments. "Our mission is to find new ways to *leverage technology, community-driven solutions*, and collaboration to accelerate progress in Science, Education, and within our Justice & Opportunity work."
- [Buckminster Fuller Institute's Regenerosity Program](#) is a network and fund dedicated to accelerating the development and deployment of on-the-ground solutions which radically *advance human well-being* and the health of our planet's ecosystems. 

Further research is needed to extend the above preliminary list of Bay Area candidates. If timing or level of local funding seem inadequate for timely progress,

out-of-area foundations will be solicited. Potential interest from out-of-state foundations is clear from results generated at the [\*\*Divest Invest Philanthropy\*\*](#).

[\*\*Divest Invest Philanthropy\*\*](#) represents over \$10 billion in total assets with commitments to shift at least 5% - and in many cases over 10% - of their respective endowments to investments in new energy solutions. Five years after 17 foundations pledged to stop investing in fossil-fuel companies and increase their stake in climate-friendlier investments like renewable fuels, almost 200 foundations and family offices have signed on. Specific commitment examples include:

- **The John Merck Fund** (JMF) is planning to allocate up to 100% of its more than \$65 million endowment for investments focused on *climate solutions*, clean energy generation, and environmental health.
- **The Sierra Club Foundation** is making commitments to climate solutions and clean energy investments that are consistent with both its fiduciary responsibilities and its mission to educate and empower people to protect and improve the natural and human environment. The foundation's \$60 million operating and endowment funds include \$6 million of investments in renewable energy, efficiency, and *clean energy technologies* as well as green bonds. A recent new commitment of \$4 million specifically targets *innovative technologies* and clean energy investments that offer potential solutions to accelerate the transition to a clean energy economy by 2050.
- **The Wallace Global Fund** is aligning 100% of its endowment with its mission, including approximately 35% of the portfolio dedicated to investments in climate solutions, and \$20 million deployed into new *clean-energy technologies*.
- **The Flora Family Foundation** is committing to invest over \$5 million with a focus on market innovations related to climate solutions, renewable energy generation, *energy efficiency*, and *environmental sustainability*.
- **Mercy Investment Services**, the socially responsible investment program of The Sisters of Mercy, a community of Roman Catholic women, is directing half of its private equity investments to companies focused on renewable energy, *energy and water efficiency*, materials recycling, green building practices, and sustainable agriculture.
- **Treehouse Investments**, which is committing substantially all of its assets to investments in *transformational business models* that mitigate the adverse impacts of climate change, including renewable energy technology and production, *energy efficiency*, natural resources conservation, and *market innovation* supporting climate resilience in emerging markets.



- **Unitarian Universalist Association**, which has long incorporated the principles of socially responsible investing into its endowment, and is now placing a priority on moving capital towards *climate solutions*.

Foundations shifting their endowment funds into clean and efficient energy systems demonstrates their awareness of our Climate Crisis; that mindset will also influence where they invest their grant money. LoopWorks and the Milpitas PRT system are ideal candidates for such grants. If foundation grants must go to a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, the [Sunnyhills Neighborhood Association](#) may be helpful as a fiduciary agent.

The number of funding opportunities is growing rapidly. Helping LoopWorks sort through them is the [Funding Wizard](#) – which combs the internet weekly for funding opportunities (grants, rebates, and other financial incentives). Filters include funding type, category, eligible applicants or using keywords. The California Air Resources Board ([CARB](#)) manages the website with a stated purpose of providing a public clearinghouse "to assist cities and counties with searching for grants, rebates, and other financial incentives for sustainable projects."

## Crowd-Funding

While crowd-funding a \$60M project is unrealistic, funding the first \$600,000 for initial business development is quite possible – both in terms of the cash amount and the legalities.



Legally, the crowdfunding opportunity is created under Title III of the Jumpstart Our Business Startups (JOBS) Act. Specifically, Section 4(a)(6) of the Securities Act

exempts eligible crowdfunding offerings from registration. Crowdfunding commonly refers to raising funds for an enterprise over the Internet from a potentially large number of people; this is also known as investment crowdfunding. If a company wants to use this exemption, the offering must comply with the following restrictions and requirements:

- A company can raise up to a maximum of \$1,070,000 over a 12-month period.
- Investors whose annual income or net worth is below \$107,000, can invest the greater of \$2,200 or 5% of the lesser of the investor's annual income or net worth.
- Investors whose annual income and net worth are equal to or greater than \$107,000, can invest 10% of the lesser of the investor's annual income or net worth.
- Businesses raising money must have their financial statements reviewed by an independent accountant if raising more than \$107,000, or audited by an independent accountant if raising more than \$535,000.

- Companies must use a regulated web platform.

Additionally, issuers must disclose investment related information such as business activities, how investment proceeds will be used, financial statements, price of the security, target capital goal, and related deadlines. This exemption has some filing and reporting requirements. Multiple platforms exist to assist in crowd-funding (e.g. <https://wefunder.com>).

## Government Agencies

Many government agencies are interested in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or increasing transit use. The following are just a few examples.

The [Strategic Incentives Division](#) (SID) of the Bay Area Air Quality Management District offers financial incentives for



projects that *improve air quality, reduce air quality health impacts, and protect the global climate*. SID oversees approximately 1,000 projects funded by state, federal, and local resources every year. Since 1992, SID has awarded over \$400 million in grant funding to public agencies, private companies, and Bay Area residents for *cost effective emission reduction projects*. SID also operates an Advanced Technology Demonstration program with the goal of advancing clean and sustainable *technologies for transportation*.

The [Clean Transportation Program](#) (also known as Alternative and Renewable Fuel and Vehicle Technology Program) invests up to \$100 million annually in a broad portfolio of transportation and fuel transportation projects throughout the state. The Energy Commission leverages public and private investments to support adoption of *cleaner transportation* powered by alternative and renewable fuels. The program plays an important role in achieving California's ambitious goals on climate change, petroleum reduction, and *adoption of zero-emission vehicles*, as well as efforts to reach air quality standards.

The U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) allocated \$1 billion in Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 for discretionary grant funding through the [Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development \(BUILD\)](#) Transportation Discretionary Grants program. FY 2020 BUILD Transportation *grants are for planning and capital investments* in surface transportation infrastructure and are awarded on a competitive basis for projects that will have a significant local or regional impact. BUILD funding can support roads, bridges, *transit*, rail, ports or *intermodal transportation*. Projects for BUILD will be *evaluated based on merit criteria* that include safety, economic competitiveness, quality of life,

environmental sustainability, state of good repair, innovation, and partnership.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of [Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy](#) often turns to the private sector for assistance in accomplishing its mission and program objectives. Organizations and individuals are encouraged to submit proposals that are relevant to DOE's research and development mission either in response to formal DOE solicitations or through *self-generated unsolicited proposals*. Funding of Unsolicited Proposals is considered a noncompetitive action. For further information relating to the process for submitting unsolicited proposals, please visit [NETL's Unsolicited Proposals page](#).

[Complete Trip - ITS4US Deployment Program](#) aims to solve mobility challenges of all travelers, regardless of location, income, or disability, in accessing jobs, education, healthcare, and other activities. The Complete Trip portfolio will identify ways to provide more efficient, affordable, and accessible transportation services for people. This program will make up to \$40 million available to enable communities to showcase *innovative business partnerships, technologies, and practices that promote independent mobility for all*.



The Milpitas Trail, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Master Plan (Funding Opportunities, page 149) lists nearly 2 dozen local, regional and state funding sources most of which support bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure improvements.

As with foundation grants, a service exists to sort through the nearly 3000 [federal and non-federal grant programs](#): <https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/search-grants.html>

## Corporate Sponsorship

Although the pool of corporations that are wealthy enough and motivated enough to sponsor a project like the Milpitas PRT system is relatively small, at least 3 exist in Santa Clara County that may find the project attractive.

Google has purchased land in Mountain View on the East side of Hwy. 101. Access is challenging, but the City is looking into an advanced transit system to serve that area.

Apple has over \$200 billion in off-shore accounts and serious traffic congestion around its massive new headquarters complex in Cupertino (nicknamed the "spaceship").

Cisco City has expanded eastward from San José into Milpitas, roughly 1 mile from the Milpitas PRT system.

## **"Expensive" Money**

"Expensive" money must be paid back along with interest. Like foundations, for-profit investors will expect - and receive - a say in how the project is run, regular reports on how funds are spent, and what results have been accomplished. In conformance with LoopWorks' goal of transparency, reports and results will also be made publicly available unless the investor conditions the loan upon some level of secrecy.

The need to repay capital costs and service debt until paid off will impose a heavy financial burden on LoopWorks. It is unlikely that Alternative Revenue Streams expected to pay for O&M will also cover those additional costs. Which means that revenue from fares will almost certainly will required. The goal of free fares for all - the "transportation equity" aspect of this system - will thus disappear, and along with it various benefits.

## **Secured Loans**

While many options may be available in this space, one example did appear that is worth mention.

[Climate Tech Finance Program](#) – Small businesses can apply for **loan guarantees** on loans of up to \$20 million, with a maximum guarantee of \$2.5 million. Projects may be eligible for up to 90 percent guarantees through this program.

## **Investor Funded**

These funds can be very expensive in terms of expected payback to, and control by, the investors. This can be especially true of venture capitalists who look for quick, high ROI returns on their investments. However, there are other investors with longer time horizons such as [Breakthrough Energy](#) which has a mission to lead the world to net-zero by supporting cutting-edge research and development; investing in companies that turn game-changing technologies into scalable and transformative solutions; and advocating for policies that speed innovation from lab to market. Between 2015 and 2021, investment in climate ventures [increased 40-fold](#).

# Financial Projections

Looking only at the costs of addressing congestion, Climate Chaos and air pollution without noting the costs of doing nothing is misleading. Unfortunately, this asymmetry is widespread. When approaching foundations, LoopWorks will emphasize this point before outlining the financial costs of building a Milpitas PRT System in Milpitas.

Those who have studied the ITNS PRT design and estimated its cost have generally agreed that it can be built for \$15M/mile – all costs included. For background on these studies, visit <http://sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/cost.html>

Going further, ITNS has detailed specifics in the Economics section (pages 35 – 37) of the [ITNS Business Plan](#). Starting with a PRT system consisting of 30 miles of guideway, 60 stations and 321 vehicles, estimates of each major component of the system are listed below. (The rightmost column has been added to the original, and contains the total cost of each component for the entire system.) As shown, the system cost per mile is only \$12M, not the \$15M/mile LoopWorks is claiming publicly. And ITNS's \$12M/mile includes a 40% buffer to cover Overhead, Fees and Taxes. Furthermore, when the cost of the Demonstration Project (\$30,000,000) is included in the cost of an Operating System for a total of \$390,435,996 for the 30-mile operating system, the cost per mile is still only \$13M/mile.

## System Cost

|                                                    |               |               |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Cost of Demonstration                              | \$30,000,000  |               |
| Operating Guideway Cost/mi                         | \$5,530,000   | \$165,900,000 |
| Cost of one station including bypass guideway      | \$718,511     | \$43,110,660  |
| Cost of one vehicle including storage guideway     | \$88,326      | \$28,352,646  |
| Cost of Control & Communication/mi                 | \$300,000     | \$9,000,000   |
| Cost of Maintenance Facility/mi                    | \$54,853      | \$1,645,590   |
| Construction Management Cost/mi                    | \$314,841     | \$9,445,230   |
| Overhead, Fees and Taxes                           | 40%           | 1.4 X         |
| System Cost/mi                                     | \$12,014,533  | \$257,454,126 |
| Cost of Operating System + Demonstration           | \$390,435,996 | \$360,435,996 |
| Interest on Bonded Debt                            | 4.50%         |               |
| Time Horizon                                       | 30            |               |
| Annual Payment on Bonded Debt/mi                   | \$798,982     |               |
| O&M Cost/vehicle-mile                              | \$0.33        |               |
| First year annual O&M cost (reduced with learning) | \$13,087,008  |               |
| Annual O&M as fraction of capital cost             | 3.35%         |               |
| First-Year Total Annual Cost/guideway-mile         | \$1,235,216   |               |
| First-Year Total Annual Cost/vehicle-mile          | \$0.93        |               |

When seeking funding, LoopWorks also intends to solicit an extra 10% buffer over the publicly stated price of \$60M for 4 miles of guideway. LoopWorks will seek 3 rounds of funding in the sums of \$0.6M for initial business development, \$6M for design work and project management, and \$60M for construction and testing. At commencement of public operations, any remaining funds will be put into an O&M endowment fund to help cover costs going forward.

Successfully securing foundation funding for the PRT project means that only O&M costs will be needed to sustainably run the system without charging fares. By covering capital costs for the project, foundations will liberate LoopWorks from the burden of repaying both the capital costs and the interest that would otherwise be required.

## **Start-up Costs and Activities**

### **CEO Tasks**

The first round of funding for \$600,000 will fund a CEO (at a total cost up to \$300,000 including benefits) for one year along with start-up costs. The CEO will be tasked with accomplishing these goals:

1. Secure a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) from the City of Milpitas.
2. Identify the prime contractors for design and construction, and secure an MOU agreement with them.
3. Secure MOUs from all property owners required for the LoopWorks Project starting with those required for the Mini-Loop. (MOUs with property owners shall note that – in addition to right-of-way agreements to permit construction of poles, guideways and stations – agreements may be necessary for the purpose of egress in the event of a maintenance emergency occurring on or above the property. )
4. Determine whether each publicly-owned property requires a franchise agreement.
5. Develop Bylaws in conjunction with [Sustainable Economies Law Center](#) (SELC).
6. Secure legal counsel to review incorporation documents and other matters.
7. Incorporate LoopWorks with the [Secretary of State](#).
8. Establish a website using the URL MilpitasPRT.com.
9. Obtain a federal employer identification number (EIN) by completing an [online application](#) on the IRS website. There is no filing fee.
10. File a Statement of Information with the Secretary of State within 90 days after filing the Articles of Incorporation using [Form SI-550](#) which can be completed and [filed online](#). The filing fee is \$25.

11. Check on other corporate responsibilities outlined in parts 8 thru 10 of [this brochure](#) from the Secretary of State (or hire a firm to do it).
12. Enroll community members starting with Milpitas PRT supporters.
13. Determine the amount of Insurance required for the construction phase, which will likely include bond money and tear-down insurance.
14. Identify an independent accounting firm that will provide reviews and audits of LoopWorks financial statements.
15. Confirm that the control system offered by [Transit Control Solutions, Inc.](#) (TCS) meets the requirements specified on page 58 of ITNS Business Plan (The Design and Assembly of the ITNS Control System).
16. Contact ADM Associates about Evaluation, Measurement and Verification services (see Data to Collect and Share).
17. Further develop and refine the Foundation Grants list of foundations and organizations within the San Francisco Bay Area that will be offered the opportunity to invest early in PRT.
18. Select a company logo.
19. Seek legal counsel regarding trade-marking or otherwise protecting the name of LoopWorks even though it is somewhat protected due to official recognition by the California secretary of State and the company has no intention of expanding beyond Milpitas City limits.
20. Inform the [California Public Utilities Commission \(CPUC\)](#) of the project and explore how to work together smoothly.
21. Work with a Construction Company to conduct preliminary engineering which 1) answers remaining questions, 2) develops a more detailed design of the system, and 3) more closely calculates costs.
22. Contact other developers with an interest in PRT service such as the [proposed mega-project](#) just outside Milpitas (north of Montague along Coyote Creek).
23. Secure \$6M in funding for design work and project management.

### **Additional Tasks**

Other tasks are also appropriate for the start-up phase. (Note: in the following descriptions, values and goals that align with LoopWorks are emphasized with *italics*.)

Contact [CALSTART](#), the nonprofit organization working nationally and internationally with businesses and governments to develop clean, efficient transportation solutions. From technology firms to transit operators and from vehicle manufacturers to research institutions, CALSTART connects every element of the clean energy sector, offering customized services, information and programming. Their Technology Development and Demonstration activities include:

- Develop and organize competitive project teams around *strategically important technologies* to help fund and speed their commercialization.

- Work with member companies, agencies and fleets to manage prototype demonstrations or pilot stage *field trials of advanced technology vehicles*, components, renewable fuels, infrastructure and systems.
- Provide comprehensive value-add project support, from complex program and funds administration and management, to business case analysis, market assessment, *infrastructure development*, commercialization planning and *performance evaluation*.

Ask *cities with an interest in PRT* to support for this initial system. Results in Milpitas will inform and influence advanced transit possibilities in Cupertino, San José, Santa Clara, Sunnyvale, Mountain View and Santa Cruz.

Inquire of cities that have passed a *Climate Emergency Declaration* to assess their interest in supporting this initial PRT system. A map of all cities in California can be found at <https://www.theclimatemobilization.org/cal-map>

Inform Fremont City Council member Vinnie Bacon (650-863-6549) about the project and assess his interest in supporting this initial PRT system. In his run for Alameda County Supervisor (1<sup>st</sup> District), he received the most votes. His opponent in the November 2020 election is weak, so it is likely that Mr. Bacon will win. Contacting him was suggested by Fred Morrison at 2019 Spring CDP Convention. Vinnie Bacon has a Masters Degree in *City Planning and Transportation Engineering* from UC Berkeley.

Explore collaboration with [ProspectSV](#), a nonprofit cleantech innovation hub that focuses on *advanced mobility* and energy solutions for urban communities. By collaborating with key public and private partners and providing resources for entrepreneurs in the field, ProspectSV is working to *improve urban sustainability*. The Advanced Mobility and Transportation segment of ProspectSV, working with private sector partners and local communities, leads an innovative public-private partnership to *usher in the next generation of transportation technology*, and offer truly unique platforms for innovation at the lab, field, and community scale.

Invite the [Mineta Transportation Institute](#) to participate in gathering and reporting Data to Collect and Share. "At the Mineta Transportation Institute (MTI) at San José State University, our mission is to increase mobility for all by improving the safety, efficiency, accessibility, and convenience of our nation's transportation system. Through research, education, workforce development and technology transfer, we help create a connected world."



Establish a relationship with [California Stewardship Network](#) which is "developing innovative regional solutions to the state's most pressing economic,

environmental, and community challenges" by using solutions based on *breakthrough innovation and driven by a new generation of civic entrepreneurs*. In 2019, the California Stewardship Network merged with [California Forward](#), a non-profit organization founded in 2008 that advocates for shared prosperity across all of the state's regions and for improved government performance and accountability.

Estimate ridership on the PRT project using the [Podaris](#) multi-modal transport planning tool. "Create multi-modal transport networks and analyze their costs and benefits. Share these models with internal or external collaborators, ensuring that they are vetted and validated from every possible angle." The free version may be adequate to model the Mini-Loop and BART Circulator. "Our easy-to-use parametric design tools ensure that right-of-way, travel-time, and capacity calculations are extremely accurate from day one, based on validated engineering properties from transport technology vendors. This engineering accuracy is useful for planning any kind of transport infrastructure, but is particularly *crucial for helping planners to understand new and unfamiliar technologies*, such as hyperloops or driverless pods." Podaris may be interested in contributing to, and benefiting from Data to Collect and Share.

Invite community members to create representations of PRT in the local built environment with a particular focus on stations. Such images and simulations will be helpful in presentations to stakeholders. Possibilities include high school students doing art projects or short videos, simulations using 3D base maps, and PRT game software. In [this video](#), long-time PRT advocate Christer Lindstrom uses a free PRT game, 4Dialog, to present a model of how a podcar network would interact with humans in the built environment.

### **Compensation for Contributors**

Development of the Bylaws will include some sort of compensation plan to provide equity for people and entities that support the project. While employee compensation will likely be handled internally using a process from [Reinventing Organizations](#), other compensations including voting privileges will be determined.

For example, ITNS Managing Director and Principle Developer Ed Anderson has provided inestimable value to this project. He is willing to act as an advisor and provide "all the engineering in volumes 2 and 3." The [first volume of 500 pages](#) is already available. After a discussion with his wife, he would like 1) \$200,000 after taxes for access to all of his technology, and 2) \$30,000 per month for one year for advice to the engineering team and Board of Directors.

"My intention is that once you receive the funds needed to build your first loop, I will send you the second and third volumes and more. I will also work as an advisor to the team of engineers you must hire to do the necessary

work to the extent they will want my advice. From experience, the **engineers must sign up to follow the information mainly found in summary form in my Business Plan, and in detail in my 3-volume work.** If they want to deviate, as Raytheon did with disastrous results, they can do so only with my concurrence." – Ed Anderson

## Design and Management Costs

The second round of funding - for \$6M - will be used for design work and project management. This sum, representing 10% of capital costs, is expected to be adequate given ratios common with other civil engineering projects. For example, consider the Montague Expressway Pedestrian Overcrossing (POC). Milpitas staff reported to the City Council Transportation Subcommittee on 2/12/2020 that "Project Management & Construction Management" would cost \$2.8M out of the total cost of \$19.7M. This 14% of total project cost is in the ballpark of the **rule of thumb that says 10% of costs go into design; 90% into everything else.**

The Major Milestones to be completed in this phase are:

- Establish an organized, working team that includes qualified engineering and architectural consultants.
- Complete the design of the basic components.
- Complete the final specification of the control system to match the performance goals.
- Retain a cabin design firm to prepare the final design of the cabin.
- Secure manufacturing partners for guideways, propulsion, chassis, and cabin.
- Develop the specifications and construction documents for the chassis, guideway, propulsion system, wayside power, stations and maintenance facility.
- Secure construction partners for site construction, guideways, off-line stations, and cabin.
- Update the detailed Project Schedule and detailed Project Cost Estimates.
- Secure permits and approvals necessary for construction.
- Prepare a Capital Funding Plan.
- Prepare Operations Plan.
- Prepare Education/Outreach and Public-Information Plans.
- Secure Capital Funding.
- Evaluate alternative revenue streams.
- Prepare a marketing plan for alternative revenue streams.

To successfully build the Milpitas PRT System, it is necessary to recruit and educate a group of engineers who will develop procurement specifications for

components, direct their procurement or manufacturing, and direct the assembly and test of the operational system. The project is divided into 12 parallel tasks so that each engineer involved only needs to become familiar in detail with a small portion of the entire project, thus making it practical to move forward quickly.

Specifically, these tasks that need to be completed during the Construction phase must be started during this Design phase:

Task #1: Management and Systems Engineering.

Task #2: Safety and Reliability assurance.

Task#3: Cabin. This task will be subcontracted.

Task #4: Chassis. The design and manufacturing will be subcontracted. Components will be obtained from known sources.

Task #5: Guideway and posts. These components will be subcontracted. The posts are a specialty item that may be subcontracted to a firm such as [Millerbernd](#) in Winstead, MN. Bosch Captive Columns technology will be investigated for use as posts.

Task#6: Guideway covers. This is a specialty item that will be subcontracted.

Task #7: Control system. This is a specialty item that may be subcontracted to Transit Control Solutions, Inc.

Task#8: Propulsion and braking. LIMs will likely be purchased from [Force Engineering, Ltd.](#)

Task#9: Wayside power. Power rails will likely come from [Insul-8](#).

Task #10: Civil works. Design stations, maintenance facility, foundations.


Task #11: Test program.

Task #12: Planning and marketing for the alternative revenue streams.

These 12 tasks are detailed in Appendix A of the [ITNS Business Plan](#) (pages 38 – 91). Dr. Anderson has an additional 1500 pages of analysis and specifications that support the program. For example, cost Analyses for PRT are addressed in detail as part of Task #1 in of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit by J. Edward Anderson, Volume II](#) (pages 608-623).

During examination of a portion of the Mini-Loop routing – the PRT guideway crossing of Montague Expressway parallel to the Penitencia Creek East Channel – these facts and requirements arose:

- The property owner, Santa Clara Valley Water District (SCVWD), must grant an easement for PRT guideway. SCVWD has worked with the City of Milpitas to provide channel access in the past (often with the City picking up certain maintenance costs). By erecting the dual guideways on the northern edge of the Penitencia Creek East Channel, the 30-foot wide northern embankment/levee is mostly available for SCVWD equipment to maintain the channel. SCVWD does not have defined criteria for encroachments, but rather decides on a case-by-case basis. Because PRT guideways are small and easily routed, we expect to reach a mutually beneficial joint-use agreement with SCVWD for use of their 70' wide right-of-way. A permit will also likely be required from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife.
- The parallel-running [PG&E right-of-way](#) is 50' wide and lies south of, and adjacent to, the SCVWD channel on both sides of Montague.
- Because PG&E most likely will not grant PRT station access on their right-of-way (other than for a 16-foot high crossing), both stations along the Channel will be sited north of the r-o-w. For example, the station East of Montague might be located in the "new park" area just south of the Lyons Communities development, adjacent to the SCVWD channel.
- ITNS-designed guideways and vehicles can negotiate turns with a mere 25' radius (50' diameter).
- PG&E high-voltage lines running north-south on the east side of Montague Expressway are high enough for PRT to operate below them safely. A minimum line elevation for the power lines would be 25 feet to accommodate PRT passing beneath: guideway is 16 feet above grade + guideway height (3') + vehicle height (5') + 1 foot gap = 25 feet.
- PRT vehicles will go counter-clockwise to provide quicker delivery of more residents to the transit center than if vehicles circulated clockwise.
- After the east-west crossing of Montague by the PRT guideway, it will proceed north toward the BART station along the eastern border of the "new park" and the Lyons Communities development above the planned 26'-wide access road/fire lane (for details, see page 17 of this [City document](#)), across Capitol Avenue and under the 25' elevated LRT into the VTA Transit Center.



- Foot bridges across the creek channel and PG&E easement will be needed near each station to provide access across those barriers. (Both are being planned for construction, with the western crossing already completed.)

Ground-level impact on the property owners is expected to be minimal with 2' x 2' footings (spaced 60' to 90' apart) and 2' diameter poles supporting the guideway above. With the exception of the short construction time and time spent coordinating the project with the contractor, no other costs to property owners are expected. Concerns about security and vandalism at the stations can be addressed by installing a motion-sensing and tracking video recorder system (CCTV).

"Third-rail" versions of PRT like that proposed for Milpitas will connect many electric motors to the existing electrical system. PG&E (or its successor) will be invited into a short exploratory dialog with PRT developers to better define required electrical system modifications to ensure continued high quality electric utility service to the surrounding area.

Whereas the ITNS Business Plan focuses marketing efforts on selling PRT systems, the LoopWorks marketing team will focus on marketing and selling Alternative Revenue Streams. During the investigation and evaluation of each for likely return on investment (ROI), at least 3 factors will be considered: potential amount of annual funding generated, monetary cost to generate that revenue, and likelihood of securing the revenue stream.

## **Insurance**

As with any business, LoopWorks will secure appropriate insurance to protect the company, its employees and its Board members. A business owner policy (BOP) or Commercial General Liability(CGL) policy augmented with non-profit director and officer (NPDO) liability coverage will likely be secured initially. Workers Compensation (WC) coverage will be put into place prior to hiring any employees in compliance with State regulations.

Health insurance coverage for directors and employees may come in the form of a small group / small business policy Health Insurance can be provided in a Small Group Package, possibly combined with coverage from Covered California or a new federal healthcare plan. LoopWorks anticipates paying a high percentage of premium costs (up to the legal limit of 99% the employee's premium for a major medical plan), and taking advantage of any tax credits available. Such healthcare coverage will help attract and retain employees.

A Milpitas-based insurance broker that can research offerings from various companies may be our best choice for both service and price (e. g. Warren

Wettenstein, Wettenstein Insurance, 320 South Abel St, 408-824-1450, [warren@calvantage.net](mailto:warren@calvantage.net), <https://www.calvantage.net/>). If, as part of LoopWorks' outreach and promotion, a special event is held that lies outside the standard BOP/CGL coverage, appropriate special event insurance coverage will be obtained in compliance with relevant regulations and as required by venue contract. Subcontractors/Exhibitors will be required to provide proof of insurance with LoopWorks both Named and Additional Insured on their policies at their cost in the appropriate amounts.

While a local broker may be able to secure insurance for both construction and operation phases, the task will be easier after risk is calibrated. [ASTM](#)



[International](#) is one of the largest independent standards-writing bodies in the world. The [ASTM International F-24 Committee on Amusement Rides and Devices](#) establishes standards on amusement ride design and manufacturing, testing, operation, maintenance, inspection, and quality assurances. The ASTM standards are used in 44 of 50 States and many nations. The ASTM standards have an existing enforcement industry and an existing insurance industry to guide LoopWorks regarding:

- Bonding – LoopWorks must dedicate bond money for the construction phase, which will likely include some amount for tear-down insurance.
- Tear-Down Insurance – LoopWorks must dedicate funds before starting construction that is sufficient to be used to remove the system upon failure to meet various performance measures.
- Project Insurance – LoopWorks will purchase sufficient insurance to insure against losses due to natural disasters, man-made disasters, and system failures. Builders Risk insurance may need to be purchased to cover construction contingencies. All construction contractors will be required to obtain and maintain appropriate insurance shielding LoopWorks from workers compensation and other claims from themselves and their subcontractors.

The BART Transit Center is built within a Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) [100-year floodplain](#) (Zone AH). Much of the surrounding area is designated Flood Zone AO.

[Energetic Insurance](#) can help with the unaddressed risks associated with many new climate technologies. By enabling access to affordable capital, Energetic Insurance sees insurance as part of the larger capital stack, alongside better-understood tools such as venture capital and debt.

## Construction Costs

The third round of funding will secure \$60M for construction, testing and 1 year of O&M.

[Transportation Options for Greenville](#) (page 39), provides a cost range for PRT systems: "ATN systems cost far less than other fixed-guideway modes like light rail. One mile of one-way guideway complete with vehicles and stations ranges in cost from about \$10 million to \$30 million. Lower cost applications are at grade and have lower capacity while elevated, high capacity applications cost more." LoopWorks estimates a cost of \$15 million per mile.

Compared to other elevated, mass-transit systems, PRT is dramatically lower cost. The key observation that led to PRT is stated here:

By studying the dynamics of vehicles or trains moving over elevated guideways [7] it became clear that if the people-carrying capacity of the vehicles can be distributed over the guideway in many small units in which adult passengers are all seated instead of a few large conventional vehicles of the same capacity, **the weight per unit of length of the guideway can be reduced by a factor of at least 20.** (See page 211 of [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit](#) by J. Edward Anderson.)



The LoopWorks class of PRT is smaller than most offerings in both vehicle size and guideway size. The generally accepted "smaller costs less" rule, combined with a captured-bogie design (thus reducing vehicle parts and weight) is why [various reports](#) consistently estimate cost at less than \$15M/mile. Of particular interest is the 2019 [ITNS Business Plan](#) (page 36) that estimates \$12M/mile for entire system cost for the ITNS hardware design that LoopWorks will use. Those estimates will be refined during phase 2, Design and

Management Costs. When this dual-loop PRT system is actually built, we will get (and share) valuable data on actual costs.

ITNS provides additional financial information in the Economics section of the [ITNS Business Plan](#) (pages 35 – 37). Starting with a system consisting of 30 miles of guideway, 60 stations and 321 vehicles, they estimate each major component of

the system. The rightmost column (added to the original) contains the total cost of each component for the entire system.)

### System Cost

|                                                    |               |                     |
|----------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Cost of Demonstration                              | \$30,000,000  |                     |
| Operating Guideway Cost/mi                         | \$5,530,000   | \$165,900,000       |
| Cost of one station including bypass guideway      | \$718,511     | \$43,110,660        |
| Cost of one vehicle including storage guideway     | \$88,326      | \$28,352,646        |
| Cost of Control & Communication/mi                 | \$300,000     | \$9,000,000         |
| Cost of Maintenance Facility/mi                    | \$54,853      | \$1,645,590         |
| Construction Management Cost/mi                    | \$314,841     | \$9,445,230         |
| Overhead, Fees and Taxes                           | 40%           | 1.4 X \$183,895,800 |
| System Cost/mi                                     | \$12,014,533  | \$257,454,126       |
| Cost of Operating System + Demonstration           | \$390,435,996 | \$360,435,996       |
| Interest on Bonded Debt                            | 4.50%         |                     |
| Time Horizon                                       | 30            |                     |
| Annual Payment on Bonded Debt/mi                   | \$798,982     |                     |
| O&M Cost/vehicle-mile                              | \$0.33        |                     |
| First year annual O&M cost (reduced with learning) | \$13,087,008  |                     |
| Annual O&M as fraction of capital cost             | 3.35%         |                     |
| First-Year Total Annual Cost/guideway-mile         | \$1,235,216   |                     |
| First-Year Total Annual Cost/vehicle-mile          | \$0.93        |                     |

Although 3 vehicles are sufficient to test the Mini-Loop, at least five will be in the preliminary order to ensure testing can proceed quickly – possibly with different tests running in parallel. The full complement of 10 vehicles (2 for each of the 5 Mini-Loop stations) may be deemed useful enough to include in the initial order. An additional 15 will be ordered for the BART Circulator loop (2 for each of the 7 new stations, plus 1 spare).

At the conclusion of the Mini-Loop construction phase,

- all components required for system testing will be installed;
- system testing will have resulted in CPUC Certification so that public operations may commence;
- construction of the BART Circulator will have begun.

As a financial buffer, LoopWorks will seek an extra 10% funding (\$6M) above the public claim of 4 miles of guideway for \$60M. At commencement of public operations, any excess construction funds will be put toward 1) paying for O&M

costs during the first year of operation, and 2) establishing an O&M endowment fund to help cover costs going forward.

As appropriate, the marketing team will develop brochures, virtual-reality videos, a website and all other materials needed to promote the Alternative Revenue Streams. Marketing will also provide materials that explain the system in enough detail required by consulting engineers and planners working on their own PRT systems.

## **Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs**

While transit industry O&M costs run between 3% and 5% of total capital costs, the [ITNS Business Plan](#) estimates O&M costs at 3%. However, a case can be made that [O&M costs could be as low as 1%](#).

Author [Tony Seba](#), a Stanford professor and guru of “disruption”, points out that an internal combustion engine drive-train contains about 2,000 parts, while an electric vehicle drive-train contains about 20. All other things being equal, a system with fewer moving parts will be more reliable than a system with more moving parts. And that rule of thumb appears to hold for cars. In 2006, the [National Highway Transportation Safety Administration](#) estimated that the average vehicle, built solely on internal combustion engines, lasts 150,000 miles. Current estimates for the lifetime of today’s electric vehicles are over [500,000 miles](#). As [Seth Miller points out](#), “The total cost of owning an electric vehicle is, over its entire life, roughly 1/4 to 1/3 the cost of a gasoline-powered vehicle.”

Furthermore, because the ITNS design uses captive vehicles that cannot leave the guideway rather than dual mode vehicles that can also drive on city streets, fewer parts are needed (e.g. steering, safety features, and battery pack) and simpler programming is required. For example, the stainless steel chassis is designed for a lifetime of 20 years, during which time it can be expected to travel 1,600,000 miles.

Designing a system that meets required performance with minimum life-cycle cost, as ITNS has done, also leads to a more sustainable mode of transportation. With appropriate preventative maintenance, both repair costs and service interruptions go down. Thus, both financial and service goals dictate that preventative maintenance be high priority.

## **Electricity (Fuel) Usage**

The 4-mile long Milpitas PRT System proposed for Milpitas is approximately the same length as the 5-mile PRT system proposed for Palo Alto’s Stanford Research

Park (PRT4SRP) which was expected to have a peak electrical "load" of 1.8MW or less during morning rush hour.

Accommodating peak capacity is an important part of electric utility strategy because increases in maximum peak usage may require the construction of expensive, new power generation plants. It is anticipated that PRT peak usage patterns will be complimentary with summer weekday early afternoon peak-power consumption patterns. Thus, no additional power plants will be required. Regardless, PG&E (or its successor) will be invited into a short exploratory dialog with PRT developers to better define required electrical system modifications to ensure continued high quality electric utility service to the surrounding area.

Using PRT4SRP's numbers, annual electricity usage will be 5,159 MWh or less. At roughly \$0.12 per kWh (from Tariff E7 for large industrial users), annual cost will be \$619,000. Due to the need to provide "high availability" power to the PRT system when the utility's power supply is low, an additional charge may occasionally be necessary. However, by including wayside batteries that can be charged at night, ITNS need not add to the peak utility load while also reducing electricity costs (because "off peak" electricity costs less than daytime electricity).

## **Financing O&M**

Operations and Maintenance (O&M) is expected to be 1% - 3% of capital costs, or \$0.6M - \$1.8M annually. To re-coup O&M costs from the fare box would 1) be something that no transit system in the Bay Area accomplishes, and 2) require 2000 - 6000 trips per day, each being charged \$1/trip. (Ridership estimates for the PRT system satisfy this 2<sup>nd</sup> requirement.) While nearly all publicly-owned transit systems charge fares and lose money, LoopWorks seeks to provide free rides to users and pay for unusually low O&M expenses from Alternative Revenue Streams.

The equity impact of free rides is obvious: the most marginalized people are the least likely to own cars and thus rely most heavily on transit. And for those who count on it, transit is at least as vital as other services that cities are expected to fund entirely through tax revenue, from parks and libraries to schools and police forces.

If the proposed Milpitas PRT System expands to other parts of Milpitas, the decision to provide free rides will be revisited. One path forward would be to continue free rides between any of the initial 12 dual-loop stations, and charge a fare for travel to or from any additional stations. If charged, fares will be set based upon each vehicle, not each rider.

## **Farebox Recovery - Not!**

LoopWorks recognizes that charging a fare alters the relationship between riders and the transit system. Also, charging a fare could provide a revenue stream for growing the initial Milpitas PRT System to serve more of Milpitas. However, LoopWorks is disinclined to charge fares at this time for these reasons:

1. The wide divide between rich and poor is toxic to the public welfare. Providing free transit for a trip, or portion of a multi-modal trip, helps reduce that divide for those with severe financial constraints -- and does so without the overhead of programs/prices designed for students, seniors and other disadvantaged people. Free rides also reduces the cost and complexity of the system by eliminating a ticketing system. However, designing fare collection into the system, and preparing for it by installing wiring as appropriate, makes sense and should be done.
2. The free fares can become non-free whenever LoopWorks chooses to do so. Such a change would provide new, useful data on ridership patterns and vandalism.
3. In looking at the potential Alternative Revenue Streams, it appears some combination of them will cover PRT's low O&M costs, thus making fares unnecessary.
4. If free fares can be shown to work financially, it will push transit agencies to defend and re-think their 80% - 90% subsidies of bus service. Frankly, just the audacity of LoopWorks' goal of free rides may be enough to start conversations about what else could be subsidized at that level if public transit was not.
5. A \$60M transportation infrastructure project will increase the property values in the area which will increase property-tax revenue to the City of Milpitas and many other local government agencies – income unaffected by whether fares are charged or not. Likewise for most other benefits that will be enjoyed by the community.
6. Given our Climate Emergency, we want people using electric-drive vehicles like PRT. Free fares will entice more riders. When they tell others about their experience, the good word will spread and other systems will be built – and more people will use electric vehicles.

## **Alternative Revenue Streams**


Since free rides will be offered, paying for Operations & Maintenance (O&M) Costs of the PRT system proposed for the Metro Area could be challenging. Depending upon whether O&M costs 1% or 3% of capital costs means that LoopWorks will need an estimated \$600,000 to \$1.8M per year to cover costs. LoopWorks expects that a 3-tier approach will be successful that includes endowment (Plan A), add-on services (Plan B) and farebox recovery (Fallback Plan). While we plan to pursue Plan A first, we expect to also develop Plan B add-on services. Plan B, like

tributaries to a river, will have several small income streams that add up. If those two income streams prove inadequate to cover all O&M costs, user fares will be considered.

**Plan A:** After foundations provide the \$60M required to build the system, an additional \$12M will be sought to fund an endowment that pays for continuing operations. A \$12M endowment earning a 5% annual return would cover an estimated \$600,000 per year of O&M costs. Endowments for on-going expenses are common and will be the first choice pursued by LoopWorks simply because it represents the easiest way to cover O&M. If successful, it represents a new model for financing public transit.

**Plan B:** Develop multiple "add-on" revenue streams to pay for O&M. Like the tributaries to the Mississippi River, 20+ currently identified streams could add up to a river of funding that covers O&M. The Alternative Revenue Streams are clustered under these headings:

- Advertising
- Donations
- Community Support
- Data Sales
- Add-On Services



In looking at the potential Alternative Revenue Streams, it appears some combination of them will cover PRT's low O&M costs, thus making fares unnecessary.

**Fallback Plan:** Charge fares for rides. While LoopWorks anticipates covering all O&M costs with Plans A and B, any shortfall could be covered by implementing user fares. The free fares can become non-free whenever LoopWorks chooses. If the proposed Milpitas PRT System expands to other parts of Milpitas, the decision to provide free rides will be revisited. One path forward would be to continue free rides between any of the initial 12 stations, and charge a fare for travel to or from any other station. If charged, fares will be set based upon each vehicle, not each rider – thus encouraging ride-sharing. If fares are implemented, plugging into the existing [Clipper card system](#) would be likely. Additionally, an option for non-destination, continuous travel could be offered to people willing to pay for a quiet, private space during non-peak hours.

# Appendix

## Bibliography

The following references provide more detailed information on various subjects.

1. The Mineta Transportation Institute at San José State University released an academic report that supports PRT: "[AUTOMATED TRANSIT NETWORKS \(ATN\): A REVIEW OF THE STATE OF THE INDUSTRY AND PROSPECTS FOR THE FUTURE](#)".
2. PRT Videos:  
<http://www.sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/crossing.html#videos>
3. Cost of PRT: [various reports](#) consistently estimate cost at less than \$15M/mile.
4. Passenger Capacity of PRT guideways:  
<http://sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/capacity.html>
5. [Contributions to the Development of Personal Rapid Transit](#) by J. Edward Anderson
6. [ITNS Business Plan](#)
7. Assessor Maps of Properties Traversed by PRT Project. Here are the Assessor parcel maps for each quadrant of the east-west crossing of Montague Expressway:
  - [northeast \(includes SCVWD r-o-w\)](#)
  - [southeast](#)
  - [northwest \(includes SCVWD r-o-w\)](#)
  - [southwest](#)
  - The parallel-running [PG&E right-of-way](#) is 50' wide and lies south of, and adjacent to, the SCVWD channel on both sides of Montague.

8. Survey and Comparison of Transit Modes: [Transportation Options Through a PRT Lens](#) offers an introduction to various transit factors.
9. [Transit Area Traffic Analysis](#) looks at 2019 congestion conditions and trends in the area around the Milpitas BART Transit Center.
10. Hardware Pictures:  
<http://sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/hardware.html>
11. Letters of Support for the Project:  
<http://sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/mou-support.html>
12. Grant Application to Silicon Valley Clean Energy:  
<http://sunnyhillsneighborhood.org/SVCE-grant.pdf>

## Resumes

### **Paul Okoye**



Mr. Okoye graduated from the University of Lagos, Nigeria, with dual Bachelor of Science degrees in Environmental Studies and Architecture. He worked for multiple architecture firms as an architect before taking on project management roles with 3 different companies. During this time, he served with the Nigerian Institute of Architects, Lagos State Chapter, in a secretarial role for 2 years before being elected as Public Relations Officer for 2 years (2008-2010). Since immigrating to the U.S. in 2010, Paul has worked primarily as a CTE (Career and Technical Education)

teacher of Engineering and Architecture at high schools in So. California before starting with Milpitas Unified School District in 2020. Building upon a scientific perspective that understands and values PRT technology, he brings teaching and public relations skills to accomplish the most difficult part of the Milpitas PRT – educating and enrolling the many stakeholders of this demonstration project about the benefits that each can enjoy.

### **Rob Means**



After a 20-year career in computer programming (communications), Mr. Means left corporate America in 1992 to work on community-oriented projects. His continuous involvement since then with the Sunnyhills Neighborhood Association (SNA) has supported community building, local activism, and on-the-ground improvements. As an SNA event champion, Mr. Means spearheaded three annual Bike and Roller Fests. The most prominent SNA

achievement is the two-mile long Hetch-Hetchy linear park/trail that stretches from Town Center to the northern city limits.

During his ten-year tenure on the Bicycle Transportation Advisory Commission (now BPAC) he got the ball rolling for the planning and construction of creekside trails in Milpitas. During that time, he was instrumental in putting the Yosemite/Curtis crossing of the railroad tracks (near the Great Mall) on the Bicycle Master Plan, Trails Master Plan, and Mid-Town Plan.

As the small business owner of Electro Ride Bikes and Scooters since 1996, he knows our transportation challenges - and solutions. The future lies in providing attractive alternatives to the automobile, not expanding the footprint of a system that requires foreign energy, vast amounts of land, and pollutes our air and water. Light electric vehicles offer a path forward that dramatically reduces the costs that come with the current transportation paradigm.

After running for City Council in 1994, Mr. Means has remained active in local politics by writing incisive letters to the Milpitas Post, participating in local campaigns (for candidates and propositions), and working for a sustainable Milpitas. Rob has lived in Milpitas since 1977, and shares his home with a wonderful wife and once-homeless cat.

### Interests

Solving our Climate Crisis has been my top priority since the mid-90's. Advocating for policy changes, promoting light electric vehicles, and upgrading my home to all-electric have all been parts of my on-going efforts.

–

Following developed with Zety.com

### Board Secretary|LoopWorks

Milpitas , CA - August 2019 - Current

- Developed LoopWorks Business Plan
- Drafted Bylaws and incorporated LoopWorks as mutual benefit corporation
- Created and extended MilpitasPRT.com website
- Wrote and submitted 1st grant application
- Devised and delivered public outreach presentations
- Composed emails, public comments and media releases
- Interviewed, coordinated with, and motivated Board members to be effective in their roles

### Community Volunteer|Self-employed

Milpitas , CA - August 2014 - January 2019

- Assisted MoveToAmend.org by developing marketing materials, performing public outreach, and lobbying elected representatives
- President of 200-member Santa Clara County Democratic Club (now Silicon Valley Democratic Club) for 3 years
- As Board member of Sunnyhills Neighborhood Association supported community building, local activism, and on-the-ground improvements
- Co-coordinator and secretary for South Bay Progressive Alliance

### Small Business Owner|Electroride Bikes And Scooters

Milpitas , CA - October 1996 - August 2014

- Started and maintained electric bike and scooter business during early days of the industry
- Provided exceptional customer service through marketing, sales and service
- Promoted business via website that ranked #1 in Google searched for several years
- Frequently studied market to keep abreast of new industry trends.

- Fulfilled customer shipping needs by completing all purchase orders and customer invoices.

#### Computer Programmer|Amdahl

Sunnyvale , CA - August 1973 - April 1992

- Designed, tested, and released software essential to computer-to-computer communications that laid the foundation for the Internet
- Collaborated with developers and performance engineers to enhance supportability and identify performance bottlenecks.
- Tested hardware using software and hardware tools, and performed troubleshooting techniques to identify problems.
- Authored code fixes and enhancements for inclusion in future code releases and patches.

## Timeline

[Insert Gantt chart, and add link from Organization and Management.]  
Gantt Chart

#### Major Milestones

1. Hire CEO
2. Incorporate LoopWorks and set up website.
3. MOU approval from Milpitas City Council
4. MOU approval from other r-o-w property owners (City of Milpitas, Santa Clara County Roads and Airports Department, Santa Clara Valley Water District, Valley Transportation Authority, and Great Mall owner Simon Property Group, Courtyard by Marriott Milpitas Silicon Valley, TownePlace Suites by Marriott Milpitas Silicon Valley, Flextronics, Parc Metro HOA)
5. Secure \$6M in foundation/agency funding (10% of total cost goes to design work)
6. Preliminary design work (10%) completed (both Mini-Loop and BART Circulator)
7. Secure r-o-w agreements (easements) from Mini-Loop property owners.
8. Secure \$30M in foundation/agency funding for Mini-Loop
9. Complete design work on Mini-Loop
10. Break ground on Mini-Loop
11. Start CPUC testing on Mini-Loop
12. CPUC Certification
13. start of public operations on Mini-Loop
14. gather data on ridership, O&M Costs, and contacts from interested parties (media, developers, public agencies, investors, etc.).
15. Cultivate endowments and revenue streams to pay for (O&M)

16. Secure r-o-w agreements (easements) from remaining/Circulator property owners.
17. Secure \$30M in foundation/agency funding for BART Circulator
18. Complete design work on BART Circulator
19. Break ground on Circulator
20. Start CPUC testing on Circulator
21. CPUC Certification
22. start of public operations on Circulator
23. gather data on ridership, O&M Costs, and contacts from interested parties (media, developers, public agencies, investors, etc.).

## Publicity Channels

[Climate One](#) at [The Commonwealth Club](#) is a thriving leadership dialogue on energy, the economy and the environment. We bring together top thinkers and doers from business, government, academia and advocacy groups to advance the discussion about a clean energy future.

Advertisements in [The Union](#), the official website of Milpitas High's student run newspaper.

Those who would like to advertise in The Union can contact The Union at [mhstheunion21@gmail.com](mailto:mhstheunion21@gmail.com). Ads are available in full page, half page, quarter page, and business card sizes.

Address: 1285 Escuela Parkway, Room E-09, Milpitas, CA 95035

Telephone Number: 408-635-2800, ext 4094

Email: [mhstheunion21@gmail.com](mailto:mhstheunion21@gmail.com)

---

## Bosch Captive Columns

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Mark Roest <[marklroest@gmail.com](mailto:marklroest@gmail.com)>

**To:** Rob Means <[rob.means@electric-bikes.com](mailto:rob.means@electric-bikes.com)>

**Subject:** Re: advanced transit (PRT) project moves forward in Milpitas

**Date:** Sat, 16 May 2020 01:48:49 -0700

Hello Rob,

I just read Rule 11 [in the Business Plan], and I have found a scenario in which on ground may be one, and underground may be three, but above ground done with the technology I espouse will cost less than one.

That is partly because it will use a fraction of the structural materials, and partly because being so light in weight, it can be placed with a crane at night, in a short period of time.

First come mushroom foundations made using UHPC and composites, shallow enough to avoid existing underground utilities. UHPC is at least 5 times stronger than conventional concrete, and cures in 3 days, rather than 3 weeks. Other tricks can be applied here.

Second come the columns, which weigh a small fraction of heavy steel tubes such as are shown on your drawings. As you would expect, knowing me, they are Bosch Captive Columns. Check out the highway bridge beam study done at the University of North Dakota School of Mines and Engineering, and some of the smaller and mid-size beams in the Photo Gallery, at [www.CaptiveColumn.com](http://www.CaptiveColumn.com). The columns can be lifted into place by a small mobile crane. Most of the time would be taken by moving the crane from spot to spot, and by a technician torquing down the nuts to spec.

Third is the assembly of Captive Column main beams and covering. I examined the picture of the Captured Bogey, and came up with a couple of variations that could do the trick. One is a couple of vertical rectangles placed on a horizontal one (elevation view), with bearing surfaces made with UHPC, to approximate the design you show. The other is two right triangles, spaced apart with the diagonals sloping toward each other, and a single wheel in a motorcycle front suspension-like arrangement, and two horizontal wheels in much the same position as you show, but with a different design, probably narrower, and a pair of bearing surfaces of UHPC to confine them.

After writing the above, I considered that I could achieve comparable results with a single rectangular or trapezoidal covered beam, with more traditional monorail construction, vehicle wrapping around the upper 3 surfaces. I'm not going to try to address switching at this point; I'd have to take time to study how your system switches.

In any of these design variations, the beam assembly can be raised into position with one or two medium-capacity cranes, and a human lift can raise workers to secure the attachments and connections. The key reason I say that it is less than at-grade in cost is that you don't have to tear up the street for the length of the line. You can get large clear spans with the beams, and all of the utilities can be carried on or in the beam assembly. Fabrication is done off-site, it is very quickly assembled, and as I said, it is extremely light in weight. Notice the tractor test on the home page of the website. That 65 pound, 20-foot long balsa wood and fiberglass beam with 10,000 pounds of tractor on it is the equivalent of a 280-pound high strength steel I-beam, or a 420-pound wide flange steel beam, according to the Army Corps of Engineers.

Motive power comes from solar thin film, printed on a strong substrate such as aluminum, or possibly even a UHPC covering on the beam. Its design catches and converts scattered and reflected light, even at relatively low angles, and we expect ours to approach or meet 48% efficiency, and last several

decades. Our batteries will hold 10 times as much electricity per kg as lithium batteries, by 2023, and 3 to 5 times as much by 2022.

I intend to see these technologies and advantages in wide transit use within 5 years at the latest. The profits from the batteries will put me in position to do so (much different from today). Please carefully consider them, and ask for clarification where you need it. If you want to see both me and Dr. Anderson in the same room, I am amenable to it, with the appropriate Covid precautions -- or in a conference call or series of calls.

Regards,  
Mark Roest  
Director of Marketing & International Development  
Sustainable Energy Inc.  
Advisor to Bosch Family and Asante' UHPC  
[MarkLRoest@gmail.com](mailto:MarkLRoest@gmail.com) 650-888-3665